The Pioneer Organ of Anarchism

> October, 1907 Price, Ten Cents

1.400

"For ways in thine eyes, O Liberty! Shines that high light whereby the world is saved; And though thou slay us, we will trust in thee."

TOHN HAY.

L.460

# LIBERTY

Published Bimonthly

Twelve Issues, \$1.00; Single Cories, 10 Conts

BENJ. R. TUCKER, Editor and Publisher

Office of Publication:

502 SIXTH AVENUE, NEW YORK

Post Office Address:

LIBERTY, POST OFFICE BOX 1912, NEW YORK

"In applishing rent and interest, the last vestiges of cldtime slavery, the Revolution abolishes at one stroke the sword of the executioner, the seal of the magistrate, the club of the policeman, the gauge of the axciseman, the grasing-knife of the department clerk, all those insignia of Politics, which young Liberty grinds beneath her heel."- PROUDRON:

Vol.

T

ate's

gene ago) ever vent of L comi odica sour

book plea mer, out.

valu essa here num

some Nove essay that

hund

# LIBERTY

Proprietor: Bess, R. Tuckea, 502 Sixth Ave., New York City

Vol. XVI No. 4 OCTOBER, 1907 Whole Number 400

## ON PICKET DUTY

There are not a few who think that "A Degenerate's View of Nordau" (the review of Nordau's "Degeneration" which appeared in Liberty a dozen years ago) is quite the finest bit of work that Bernard Shaw ever did, and there are very few indeed who would venture to dispute the declaration of the "New Age," of London, that it is the most important letter ever communicated by Mr. Shaw to the newspaper or periodical press. To its admirers it has long been a source of regret that it has never been published in book form. Naturally, then, they will be highly pleased to hear that, when I was in London last summer, I persuaded Mr. Shaw to allow me to bring it out, and that he has written for it a vivacious and valuable preface, telling how he came to prepare the essay. It will appear at an early date, simultaneously here and in England,—perhaps before the November number of Liberty is printed. Should it be delayed somewhat, I hope at least to be able to state in the November number in what form and at what price the essay will be published. At present I can only say that the number of pages will somewhat exceed one hundred.

#### LIBERTY

I call especial attention to John Henry Mackay's announcement on the outside cover page. It is extremely doubtful if there will be another opportunity to obtain so nearly complete a file of Liberty as that which he offers for sale, and he is quite warranted in his refusal to accept any bid of less than fifty dollars. At that price it would be a bargain indeed. The bid ding undountedly will be stimulated by the fact that Mr. Mackay will devote the money to developing the propaganda of Anarchism in Germany which he has so well begun. He has already caused to be published in German the following four essays from my pen: "Staatssozialismus und Anarchismus," "Sind Anarchisten Moerder?" "Der Staat in seiner Eczichung zum Individuum," and "Was ist Sozialismus?" as well as "Die Frauenfrage," the discussion of marriage between Victor Yarros and Sarah E. Holmes ("Zelm") that once appeared in Liberty; and it is his intention to add to this list as fast as he can command the means. I may add, by the way, that all the German pamphlets just mentioned are to be had at my book-shop, 502 Sixth avenue.

E. Armand, the kindly editor of "L'Ere nouvelle," is in jail, charged with issuing counterfeit money. The charge is supported, as I hear, by a "suspiciously obscure denunciation" and the discovery of a single counterfeit coin when Armand's apartments were searched in his absence. "The clearest thing in the case," as Armand expressively says, is the fact that the police have seized all his letters and a great many books, files of newspapers, etc. The less clear it is

how his books and files of papers are connected with the charge of counterfeiting, the more clearly this seizure does seem to throw light on the puzzling features of the case. Apparently, as long as he devoted himself to the interests of experiments in Communism, nothing happened to him: but, now that he has become an active propagandist of the refusal of military service, he gets into jail, and his library is seized under the plea that he uses bad money.

"National sovereignty is to be upheld in so far as it means the sovereignty of the people used for the real and ultimate good of the people; and State's rights are to be upheld in so far as they mean the people's rights." Now, isn't that luminous? Could anything be more trenchant and decisive? Do you know who said it? Of course you do, for there is only one man in the world that could have said it.—Theodore Roosevelt.

The case of a Prussian immigrant woman's baby, physically born in an infant asylum on shore in Boston, but "legally born on steamship Ivernia under English flag," the mother not being yet permitted to land, is said to have tangled up "about one hundred immigration regulations," since it is the first baby born to a detained immigrant under the new law. The question what its nationality is, and the question whether the steamship company must pay the tax on this baby as an immigrant, are a mere beginning of the problems started. The local commissioner of immigration wants to get the baby admitted to this

LIBERTY

country if possible, because, when the baby has selected Boston as a birthplace, it would be a blight on Boston patriotism to turn it away. Perhaps he can secure its admission on the ground that the baby is an instructor in political common sense, and is therefore admissible under that clause of the contract labor law which permits the importation of workmen in trades at which the United States has not yet a sufficient supply of skilled hands.

One of Liberty's oldest friends, Mr. Horace Carr, of Cleveland, Ohio, writes: "I want to congratulate you on the excellence of Liberty. It has the freshness and tang that one gets nowhere else. The present form is ideal. I can drop it in a pocket when I go to lunch or on a car-trip, and it binds conveniently. I believe it to be the most influential periodical, circulation considered, on the globe."

At the International Socialist Congress in Stuttgart, Bebel declared that the Haywood trial "has shown all the world that in the United States liberty, law, and justice exist only on paper." I go further than Bebel, and declare that in the United States liberty and justice do not exist even on paper (barring perhaps the paper on which Liberty is printed), but I do not feel that my view received striking confirmation from the Haywood trial. Does Bebel mean to insinuate that Haywood was guilty?

Prof. Brander Matthews explains the vogue of the individualistic Ibsen in Germany and his lack of

vogue States It app his ide spellit

3345

The gaged of the move widow and o the he heirs has la their Franc lessen nieet. not to differ be ga and t

> of con achier object the vi absol the le

dile t

vogue in the United States by the fact that the United States is individualistic while Germany is Socialistic. It appears, then, that a writer is popular only where his ideas are unpopular. The apostle of simplified spelling should take a course in simplified thinking.

Those authors and publishers who are actively engaged in the promotion, extension, and prolongation of the copyright monopoly are continually trying to move us to tears by dwelling on the poverty of the widow and orphans that an author leaves behind him, and on the unfair distinction that is made between the heirs of the owners of literary property and the heirs of the owners of other forms of property. Light has lately been thrown upon the animus that prompts their enterprise by their proposal, now put forth in France, to tax the works of dead authors in order to lessen the competition that living authors have to meet. Of course this tax goes into the public treasury, not to the dead author's family. But that makes no difference to the monopolists, for their real end will be gained. They have suddenly forgotten the widow and the orphan; they have ceased to shed their crocodile tears. Hey, Mark Twain?

u-

rt.

lo

ıu-

It is the view of all conscious egoists that principles of conduct should be used as instruments in the achievement of successful life, but should not be made objects of idolatry, sacred and inviolable. But it is the view of the moralists that principles of conduct are absolute, and that from them there should never be the least deviation in practice. It is always interest-

ing, therefore, to observe the situation of the moralist when his view is put to the test in his own life. Tolstoi, for instance, stoutly asseverates that it is morally wrong to resist evil by force. But the other day, when a band of armed peasants opened fire on his house, he, after the second volley, "consented" to call on the rural constabulary for assistance. It is a very fine thing for a non-resistant to be the husband and father of a family of resistants. They make convenient scapegoats. When assailed, you refuse to call the police, but, after protest, you "allow" the others to do so. However, it is to be said to the credit of Tolstoi's character, though not to that of his intelligence, that it took two volleys of musketry to frighten him out of his morals. Most non-resistants would have succumbed after one.

LIBERTY

In the recent flurry over the domestic affairs of Ferdinand P. Earle it seems to have been the endeavor of almost all the participants, including the principal himself, to make asses of themselves. One knows not whether to be most disgusted with the mob, the newspapers, or the artist. An honorable exception is found in the artist's mother, who spoke the only sensible word. "My son," she said, "was a fool to tell all his affairs. He talked too much." It appears, however, that her son talked as a matter of principle. "I have thought," he declared, "and I am still of the same way of thinking, that the public had a right to know the true state of affairs." Unquestionably Earle, the Socialist, is true in this to the Socialistic doctrine. But what, then, are we to think of George

S. Vi noble a tac sage

right
i no
ness
dlers
Earl

Ti Inter Ho quent

order
sine:
priete
nave
accor
equity
E

feral that gues

of rupape

Th ment see th

220

guest."

warning:

ON PICKET DUTY

S. Viereck, who telegraphed to Earle: "I admire your

noble and courageous attitude. The moment the mob

a tacked you, you became the bearer of a great mes-

sige"? If the mob has a right to know, has it not a

right to judge? And, if it has a right to judge, has

i not a right to punish? What, then, is the "great

message "? The great message is that they are med-

The following advertisement appears in "L'Organe

HOTEL —— (situated in a mountainous region very much fre-

dlers all, -mob, newspapers, Viereck, and especially

Earle himself, who proclaims the right to meddle.

quented at present, very cosmopolitan). House of the first

order; modern comforts; central heating; exquisite French cui-

sine; superb view; large gardens; delightful terrace. The pro-

prietor fixes no prices, either for rooms or meals. The visitors have only to call at the office before their departure, and pay

according to their judgment, their conscience, and their sense of

else he has learned from his waiters that tips are pre-

Mr. Arnold Dely having announced his intention

of running a theatre without advertising in the news-

papers or supplying free seats to the critics, the New

The newspapers will not worry about Mr. Daly's advertise-

see them and write fluently about them, whether seats cost \$2 or

ments, and the critics, if they find his plays worth while, will

York "Times" administers the following editorial

ferable to wages. I wonder if he has also learned

that there are different ways to "speed the parting

Either this astonishing landlord is a Communist, or

equity. The new system will be tried for one year.

International des Hoteliers":

publi a clu forth Publ:

out).

Mr.

quent

enter

had i

givin

sired

howe

voun econo satisf

me v

then more tuted

comp that anyw tion,

grap Rusk

own

preci

very

book

few y

sum

great

LIBERTY

\$20. But Mr. Daly must remember the sad failure of the socalled Theatre of Arts and Letters which pursued the exclusive plan to which he has committed himself. In other words, the "Times" serves notice on Mr. Daly that, in the absence of the customary favors, it will systematically write down his plays, regardless of their merits. Is blackmail too impolite a term for the

characterization of this sort of thing?

Says the New York "Sun":

The madness for bad money which was the nucleus of Bryanism, is older than the constitution, older than the Confederation. In colony, State, or nation it has raged again and again; and it will not cease until debt, poverty, ignorance, and demagogy have had their quietus.

I suppose the "Sun" remembers how this madness raged in 1849, when a certain Charles A. Dana said:

Specie money, from being a convenient medium of circulation, has become the tyrant of both the production and consumption of the world. By means of this tyranny labor is kept in subjection; financial speculations, stock-jobbing, and usury are perpetrated; and interest is maintained at a rainous rate in every country. Destroy it, and a monopoly even more unjust and penurious than the monopoly of the soil is destroyed, and society is relieved of scores of parasites, who go back to useful occupations, since they are no longer able to live on the industry of others.

The recent death of George Allen, Ruskin's publisher, reminds me of the time, thirty-three years ago, when I, visiting London for the first time, started in search of an edition of Ruskin. That author had just started a rebellion against the publishers and booksellers, publishing his own books, selling at a fixed price to all and sundry (whether in the trade or out), and engaging one of his trusted draughtsmen, Mr. George Allen, to conduct the business. Consequently the trade was vigorously boyenting the new

Mr. George Allen, to conduct the business. Consequently the trade was vigorously boycotting the new enterprise, and every bookseller that I visited not only had nothing of Ruskin in stock, but was averse to giving information as to the method by which the desired books could be obtained. Finally one of them. however, gruffly told me that he believed they were published "somewhere down in Kent." With this as a clue, I soon obtained the correct address, and forthwith took a train for Orpington, where I found Publisher Allen working in his garden. He received me very kindly, and, when he found that I was a young American especially interested in Ruskin's economic writings, he exerted himself most actively to satisfy my wants, saying that Mr. Ruskin, who was then in Italy, would be greatly pleased. For the more worn plates in "Modern Painters" he substituted artists' proofs, and, when he delivered to me as complete a set of Ruskin as he could make, he said that it was one of the best sets that could be found anywhere, and would soon double in value. In addition, he gave me a large portrait of Ruskin, an autograph letter of Ruskin, a delicate etching done by Ruskin, and two pencil sketches made by Turner's own hand, all of which are now among my most precious possessions. Needless to say, I went away a very happy and a very grateful boy. The set of books increased in value as Mr. Allen promised. A few years later he offered me for the set a much larger sum than I paid him for it. His business became

great and prosperous, and yielded Mr. Ruskin a

handsome fortune. But those obituaries of Mr. Allen which state that he won the battle with the booksellers are not strictly correct. It is probably true that before Mr. Allen relaxed the stringency of his terms most of the dealers relaxed the stringency of their boycott. But years ago he returned to the practice of allowing a discount to the book trade.

LIBERTY

About a year ago M. A. Benzinger, a well-known artist, conceived the idea of painting portraits of Mc-Kinley and Roosevelt that be might sell them in Europe. He has just returned, with the portraits still on his hands. What wretched taste these foreigners have!

At the international conference of liberal religious denominations lately held in Boston Rev. Charles F. Dole, president of the Twentieth Century Club, offered the following resolution, which was favorably received:

That this conference sitting in Boston, while numerous guests are assembled here from other countries takes this occasion to petition the congress of the United States through our president and secretary that the questions submitted to foreign travellers coming to our country as to whether they are Anarchists or polygamists be discontinued on the ground that they are useless for the exclusion of the unworthy and the unscrupulous, and that they seem singularly obnoxious to be asked of people who are the guests of American citizens, and that they tend to throw needless ridicule upon our laws.

Let us hope that this suggestion will now command more attention at Washington than it did when proffered by Liberty nearly two years ago. W into holds A

Bell:

335

vous quite The gent it su daily did to be some over sever

His with I be had capa

uous

com

his pread from suffe

mys inqu

## ROOSEVELT AND DIOCLETIAN

Wise provision is made that the trees shall not grow into the sky, says Goethe. It would seem that this holds good politically as well as botanically.

A few months ago I heard from a relative of Mr. Bellamy Storer tl at Roosevelt was on the verge of nervous collapse, and that the symptoms of this were quite apparent to those who saw him near at hand. The statement did not come to me with any very cogent authentication; but it set me thinking, because it suggested a standpoint from which to consider the daily news. Roosevelt is not acting now just as he did when he became president. He is the same man, to be sure; but there is a change in the intensity of some of his qualities. One would expect to see more over-hastiness in a beginner than in a functionary of several years' experience; instead, Roosevelt's conspicuously over-hasty actions have (if I am not mistaken) come mostly in the latter part of his administration. His sensationalism has increased. The frequency with which somebody gets called a liar has increased; I believe the Ananias Club was not even formed till he had been some years in office. He is less and less capable of letting anything alone.

Now, if these things are true (and I speak only of his public record; if I am mistaken, everybody who reads the papers has the opportunity to correct me from his own knowledge), they are the signs of a man suffering from nervous fatigue. I have been tired myself, and I know how it works. I do not need to inquire whether the signs of overstrain are visible on

his face, if I can read them in his actions.

These considerations derive most of their weight from the fact that Roosevelt's is not an isolated case. On the contrary, Roosevelt's case would be an isolated one if he did not show any signs of breaking Jown. Ever since the civil war, if not longer, a term as president of the United States has been enough to cut a large piece out of any man's health and efficiency. It is not for nothing that the list of living ex-presidents is all the time so notoriously short. It is not for nothing that every president who is shot dies, while other people often recover when they are shot. It is not for nothing that Grant and Cleveland were so much less successful in their second administrations than in their first.

I make bold to say that the United States has grown too big for anybody to administer. This is not only the reason why men break down trying to administer it, but also the reason why it doesn't get administered. What has Uncle Sam succeeded in doing, at any time since the resumption of specie payment, that has evinced statesmanship? He has altered his tariff two or three times in such a way as not to do even temporary good, the general tendency being, on the contrary, to make the lariff worse and worse. The voters have made up their mind on the tariff, and hammer it at every election in which the politicians permit the tariff issue to show its head; but, though both the voters and the economists are against the tariff, it is maintained. He has amended his currency system by measures understood to be unsound in principle, which he safeguarded by arranging that t

cal eff converto becomes the means the means the second se

> but t the ra Euro made cient agent

diver

preve

men desir

perni

three

the tr has n and t law o charg

He had in tion, ures and i

that istra cour

adm

adm

that they should be incapable of producing any radical effect; then, when there began to be too much inconvenience in resisting the tendency of the new laws to become effective, he repealed them. (He continues now to do business under a currency system which all attentive people, from the most revered conservative to the most despised radical, condemn as unpractical and pernicious.) He has reduced letter postage thirtythree per cent. (keeping it still so high that nothing but the post-office's artificial monopoly can maintain the rate), has made a beginning toward imitaling the European practice of rural free delivery, and has made the second-class postal service a somewhat efficient agent of censorship and a decidedly efficient agent for provoking discontent among the most diverse kinds of periodicals. He has passed laws to prevent the immigration of sick people, poor people, men who have got a job, Anarchists, and other undesirable classes. He has consented to put an end to the tribal relation among certain Indian tribes. He has made a law against polygamy among Mormons, and then admitted the Mormons as States so that his law ceases to nave practical effect. He has taken charge of western irrigation, for fear others should. He has extensively developed government by injunction, has evoked the proposition of numerous measures against it which have not been put into effect, and has just now put into effect against it a measure that has not been proposed,—to wit, that the administration should decline to enforce the findings of a court if their tendency does not hare onize with the administration's policy. He has enacted a pure food

law in prompt obsequy to the abundantly-justified strictures of a very yellow Socialist novelist. He has enacted sundry laws to repress combinations in restraint of trade, but has not yet shown any hospitality to the reiterated suggestion of his most trusted advisers that he should repeal or suspend his own restraints upon trade when these are found to be auxiliary to the combinations he is repressing. He has created the public impression that, when congress makes such laws, the executive will not enforce them; but he has now got an executive who declares that something must be done, something shall be done, and who, after trying his hand at enforcing these laws, reports that with the present laws he expects to be able to make an imperfect sort of a beginning, and that, as soon as we find out just what new laws we need, and get them enacted, there is no telling what great things we shall do. Uncle Sam has furthermore discovered that the constitution does not follow the flag. He has sent soldiers to help revolutionists make such a show of force that their revolution should be bloodless; he then started to railroad the successful revolutionists into annexation by a snap treaty, but, upon finding that he had broken international law by sending his troops, he balked and proposed to make up for it by sending more troops to reinstate the very objectionable old government; then, finding that the old government would not promise to refrain from inflicting the customary punishment on the revolutionists, he balked again, did nothing, and later annexed the country because it was thought to be advantageous for a war he was conducting. He was pitchforked in33

harb men for t and mair

to th

offic mop Ame spece know war

to di can price abet repu

he h

unpi

neer

seen

is (a

mak

roe

tory

door

busy

foun

dish

men

And

not 1-

ted

It

y

•

ed ning

111

or w

ROOSEVELT AND DIOCLETIAN

to the said war by the act of a foreign fool in Havana

men who were not in high office, he paid \$20,000,000

for the privilege of subdumg an insurgent archipelago,

and posed as the liberator of almost everybody. The

main agent of victory, and the only one in which high

offic is got any praise, was the navy; Hearst's "Cos-

mops can "says that since then the efficiency of the

speed by perverse reorganization; at least the public

knows that disastrous accidents to American vessels of

war have suddenly grown frequent. He has proposed

to dig an isthmian canal, has found a South Ameri-

price of permission to do so, and has very frankly

can republic holding him up for a few millions as the

abetted revolutionists in seceding from this avaricious

republic that he might have the opportunity to dig;

he has then begun digging, and produced a perhaps

unprecedented crop of resignations of eminent engi-

neers who refused to remain in charge after they had

seen the job from an inside standpoint; but the work

is (and constantly has been) officially reported to be

roe Doctrine apply to all belligerency in which terri-

door in China, and has received it. He has been a

found that the Hague tribunal as now constituted is a

dishonest court unwilling to give an impartial judg-

ment, is busily trying to get it revised and improved.

And he is said to have refused to patronize an invent-

or with a military airship. Now, if the foregoing list

tory might be seized. He has asked for the open

busy promoter of Hague arbitration, and, having

making satisfactory progress. He has made the Mon-

American navy has been destroyed with surprising

harbor; having won this war by the efficiency of the

16

335

the o

by th

stanc

ship

the c

turn

field

clam

prop

mere

reaso

digna

parti

trodu

lease

Why

in Ir

when

repe

repea

Saxo

WORS

bette

amp

over

admi

N

1

litv ilies

he ng

up ob-

ind

ous in-

got 1 good mak and

left. I have been Loking in a school history, and find that therein the record of this period (barring the Spanish war) is mostly filled with the actions of private persons and State governments,-pretty clear evidence that the Federal government has not been doing much that was constructive. Let it not be said that I am an unpatriotic belittler

does not include Uncle Sam's most prominent evi-

dences of having had statesmanship within eight-and-

twenty years, I hope somebody will fill the gaps I have

of home institutions. I am willing to talk in the same way about other overgrown masses of governmental tissue. Britain is always supposed to present the world's foremost model of the statesmanlike administration of a vast empire. Well, if this be so, what is the world's foremost model like? How long is it since a visibly statesmanlike thing has been done by the government of King Edward or Queen Victoria? Perhaps the latest instance was when, in the case of Canada, they established the policy of allowing much self-government to their English-speaking colonies. To be sure, they have since then done a service to the cause of statesmanship by establishing an active and instructive experiment-station in Socialism, known as the London County Council; but it was a sheer fluke. If the parliament and the ministers had known how much the powers of the L. C. C. would amount to in practice, would they have granted it those powers? I trow not. They thought they were merely hitching a new horse to a small wagon to take part of the load along in the same ruts that the big wagon was in.

No novelist could invent a more telling instance of

ınd-

d

have

 $\mathbf{g}$  the

r evi-

loing

ttler

same

al

iis-

ıt is

bу

эf

uch

the

nd

as

uke.

in

ıg a

ıd

e of

LIBERTY

the operation of this law of inefficiency than is given by the present British administration. Every circumstance of its start was a plain invitation to statesmanship of the great school. It had a big majority in the commons, and the country at its back ready to return a second big majority if appealed to. It had a field in which several issues of great importance were clamoring for attention, and in which the essentials of proper treatment for some of these issues were not merely obvious, but notorious. It had as its prime reason for existence the fact that the country was indignantly demanding the immediate abolition of a particularly exasperating piece of oppression newly introduced by the opposition party during its recent lease of power. What has this administration done? Why speak of the way it has muddled its opportunity in Ireland, or its opportunity with the house of lords, when it—has—not—even—efficiency—eno hat—to repeal—the—Education Act—that it was elected to repeal!

ROOSEVELT AND DIOCLETIAN

I am not confining my discussions to the Anglo-Saxon countries because they manage great empires worse than others, but because they manage them better.

Nobody looks upon Russia or China as a fine example of the advantages of a single administration over a great country. The German empire is a big administration which is not yet old enough to have got rusty, as I was lately saying, and bears as yet a good reputation for efficiency; but they are certainly making a bad mess with their subject races in Poland and Africa and elsewhere, and they are playing a

very questionable game with their Socialists; and, while they continue to boast of the German patriots who lifted their nation from under Napoleon's heel by their concerted work in the development of Manhood. the imperial government is steadily counteracting this work both by making its populace helots to the officers of the army and by making the individual yield his initiative to the central machine. The difference between men in a free country and men in a bureaucratic country is well illustrated by an anecdote of Mackay of Uganda. Before he went out as a missionary, he was in Berlin to complete his education as an engineer, and boarded at the same table with some German engineers of his own age, one of whom asked him: "How is it, Herr Mackay, that, while the German engineers are undeniably superior to the British in scientific training, the British continue to surpass them in practical achievement?" "The first necessity for a good engineer," replied the young Scotchman, " is Vorsicht (prudence), the second is das Probieren (experimentation), and the third is Mut (courage). In these the British engineers are superior, and hence they accomplish greater things." Germany was developing Vorsicht, Probieren, and Mut till Bismarck intervened.

The fact is that, when an administration of any sort gets too big for a man or group of men to handle, there is a loss of efficiency. Improved methods of organization widen the limit, but do not abolish it. I have always thought time would show that this law of nature is a check to the permanent dominance of trusts, but I am content not to argue that point till

muc

thei a ha talli ors. life

or f effic reac cons for

cal his stic tion

whe sena age the mer Ma

fasl get poli pre Τhe

An not ena

the

time has shown. Great governments, however, are a much bigger proposition to handle than any trust, and their impracticability was recognized a millennium and a half ago. When the Roman Empire was visibly failing into decay, a succession of long-headed emperors, and particularly Diocletian, gave it a new lease of life by dividing it into sections and making an emperor for each section, so as to get more administrative efficiency by permitting more attention. There were reactions now and then, but on the whole the plan commended itself by the way it worked. But it has for half a century been unfashionable to learn political and for the plan and plant of the pla

cal lessons from Greek and Roman history. As for Boosevelt, I think him entirely justified in his unwillingness to run again. If he is wise, he will stick to this resolution rigidly, and refuse the nomination even if tendered to him. And not only this, but, when his term is up, he will not accept a seat in the senate or governorship in the Philippines or a managership over the Panama canal. Instead, he will use the last months of his term in having the navy department organize an Arctic exploring expedition to sail March 5, 1909, with plenty of provisions, for an oldfashioned long campaign in the ice; he will quietly get himself a berth in this party, and will make his political friends promise to use all their influence to prevent the sending of any rescue party before 1913. Then he could come back and go into politics again. An absolute vacation of this length would probably not fit him for another term as president, but it would enable him to go into the senate and do better than the average senator.

LIBERTY

As for Congressman Bartholdt, of St. Louis, who has got a foothold toward the establishment of Tennyson's "Parliament of men, the Federation of the world." I felt pervous about him for a while. I said to myself, "At present the world does not know Congressman Bartholdt's name. In thirty years the whole planet will be extolling him as the greatest and most beneficent statesman of the age. In three hundred years he will be execrated all over the planet for having done mankind such harm as few men ever did." But on further reflection I think it not necessary to spend anxiety on Bartholdt. Before Bartholdt and the Anarchists ever heard of each other, wise provision was made that the trees should not grow into the sev.

STEVEN T. BYINGTON.

desire he vo. at the diplomats of the Jiague would establish on desire he vo. at the following little article:

"A first stable war the commander-in-chief of the conquered

army shall? A shot."

Then sovereigns would look twice before rushing into the adventure. I am well aware that my proposal is revolutionary, but is not war itself the worst of revolutions? And is there any means of getting rid of it that is not legitimate?—J. Cornely in

The punishment of Ibsen's feminism—if it deserved anymust have been the veritable flood of misunderstood women who threatened his peace. His heirs have found heaps of letters from women among his papers. And his widow says that, at the master's desire, she threw away even more, including photographs. Those that were saved were human documents. Ibsen made use of them, without so much as answering them, with the tranquil egoista of genius.—L'Aurore.

Fron structo have e reflecti duce. Standa already Shaksp umph back o azine," altitud ing the accomp go up other t social l which: ment, l as in a conduc tue hu

ment, i

race is

must h

Triggs.

writer

3361

Le Siècle.

hc

#### UNFIDDEN THOUGHTS

Thoughts compelled from out the hidden Frequently are inexact. But the thought that comes unbidden Is the one that his die fact. Rothi Ren Gessing.

## UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

From Professor Oscar Lovell Triggs, once an instructor in English at the University of Chicago, have emanated many of the best thoughts which the reflective faculties of men have enabled them to produce. Before he severed his connection with the Standard Oil knowledge-works, Professor Triggs had already detached the idea that Mr. Rockefeller is the Shakspere of this period. Another intellectual triumph of Professor Triggs, if my memory has not gone back on me, was thinking up the "To-Morrow Magazine," which endures to this day, a monument to his altitude as a thinker. There will be no higher thinking than "To-Morrow" is doing until airships are an accomplished and available fact, and men of thought go up in them whenever they feel that they have another think coming. Triggs has also developed along social lines, until, as a witness said in the divorce case which resulted from his experiments in this department, he "does not regard the institution of marriage as in anywise a solemn or sacred institution, or one conducive to the best interests of morals or progress of the human race." As though that were an indictment, in view of morals and the direction the human race is progressing in! This witness, whose testimony must have influenced the court adversely to defendant Triggs, bears, by the irony of tate, the name of a writer whose contributions, embellished with his pic-

## LIBERTY

ture, have frequently appeared in "To-Morrow."
But to dismiss all this and return to the thoughts of Professor Triggs. "I believe," he says, "I believe that love makes some of us wise, but it makes most of us foolish." There spoke the thinker, and more than the thinker. There was heard the voice of the bold investigator who dared give to the world the fruits of his research. "That love makes most of us foolish." is more than a thought; it is a discovery.

We accept the dictum that love makes us foolish; because, if it isn't that, what is it?

One not infected by the frenzy of the mob and of the courts and the law might raise the inquiry how far such frenzy is responsible for the murders that have attended the constupration of women and girls. The penalty for rape is so near like the punishment for murder that what the assailant adds to his sentence by killing his victim is small in proportion to the added security against identification which he gets by killing her. Were robbery punished with death or life-imprisonment in all cases, it is certain that, while an equal number would be robbed, more of them would be killed to put them out of the way as complaining witnesses. Whether just or not, the community punishes the violator not so much for the physical injury he does the woman as for the injury and suffering of a social and mental nature which society itself thereafter inflicts upon her. The woman who escapes the ravisher with her life and health is socially dead, being slain by society, the accessory after the fact. Now, it seems to me that the

" fier part as we ing ( fies I its je that If ye varie not

3363

proving such minutes with the second authors. You will be second authors with the second authors with

facts

pris mor \$25

> his won seat

L.400

3365

tumble

Cape !

with w

the Te

when '

to the

or dec

to the

ticat it

nately

office.

force l

that.

cellars

rapidl

ing th

dred.

them

stanci

grease

was fu

long i

menti

in his

the gl

and e

tween

surviv

to the

cover

the m

pound

1.400

"fiend," in doing his part, and society, in doing its part to expose the woman to hurt and damnification, as well as the frenzied mob that pursues him, are acting under the same stimulus. That the man gratifies his lust, the crowd its thirst for blood, and society its jealousy of distinction, does not change the fact that the impulse is in each case sexually awakened. If you consider the comparative nobility of the various motives, that of the original offender seems not to be the meanest. Such is my theory. If the facts are otherwise, I can only express my regret.

Most clearly do the opponents of the "higher law" prove their point. They have buried the act under such a heap of odium as no man with clean hands or mind would care to dig through for purposes of a resurrection. The unwritten law is so unspeakable that nothing in the way of law anywhere equals it except some laws that have been written, read, passed, engra i printed, and enforced by the constituted authorities. Or, if the written laws are less atrocious, they make up the demnition total by being more numerous. A new one went into "effect" in New York on September first, punishing adultery by imprisonment in a penitentiary or county jail for not more than six months or by a fine of not more than \$250, or by both fine and imprisonment.

Now, the higher law on this point is "Go, and sin no more." Years ago a man of Nazareth, a Jew on his mother's side, was made referee in the case of a woman taken in the act contemplated by the above statute. The account reads that he said to the lady's

accusers: "Let him that is without sin among you airst cast a stone at her." While waiting for the execution of the sentence, the referee amused himself by punching holes in the sand with his umbrella. When he looked up from this diversion, there was nobody in sight but the accused, whereat it is to be assumed that he chuckled. "I judge," he observed to her, "that not any of those gentlemen believed himself qualified to throw stones under the conditions." She answered with some scora: "Apparently none was so accoutred of virtue"; "Neither am I"; and both went their way--whether the same way the narrative does not disclose. I make only the obvious comment on this case when I say that, if the man had been a member of the New York legislature, like Senator McCarren, for example, with his "spittin' image" riding around in the Brooklyn trolley cars in care of a mother who is the wife of another man than the senator, he might not have enriched the higher jurisprudence with a principle which his followers will be the last to adopt.

That every being, brute or human, is "right" in acting out his nature, whether social or anti-social, is a conclusion from which reason opens no avenue of escape; and therefore I never bother myself to inculcate lessons of right or wrong in those over whom no fault but my own and Mrs. Macdonald's has given me authority. I cannot see how it enlightens anyone, especially a young person, to inform him that an act is wrong. Unless it means that he will be whipped if he commits the act, he does not

ad

na-

fa

LIBERTY

tumble—or tremble. I have read in the history of a Cape Horn mission, conducted by a Christian fanatic, with what futility the missionary sought to impress the Terra del Luegans with a consciousness of wrong when they appropriated the idle property of another to their own use, or when they went about unclothed, or declined to work at the tasks he set them, or offered to their guests a hospitality so simple and generous that it included their women. The mission, unfortunately, had the backing of the British Admiralty office, so that the fanatic who controlled it could enforce his notions of right and wrong, with the result that, by compelling the Fuegans to wear clothes, dig cellars, and sleep in cabins with closed doors, he so rapidly enfecbled the tribe that from a people numbering three thousand he soon reduced them to three hundred. And it is not likely that the last Indian of them ever came really to believe it wrong for him to stand in the open and let the snowflakes fall on his greased and naked hide, or to loaf when his stomach was full, to make common use of property, or to prolong that other respectable custom of his fathers I have mentioned. The missionary at this station described in his reports how he had broken to the Fuegans the glad tidings of their ransom through Jesus Christ and expounded to their edification the distinction between the law and the gospel. There would be more

survivors of the tribe now if he had confined himself

to that sort of exegesis, and had allowed them to dis-

cover for themselves their errors of conduct. This is

the mission to which Charles Darwin contributed five

pounds a year for a while, to his subsequent regret.

When a person announces his rejection of common methods of suasion, moral or otherwise, he is expected to propose a substitute. I may remark, therefore, that, where I might have said "that is not right" or "that is wrong," I have instead successfully insinuated the query whether conduct meriting reproach could be defended as "fair" or "prudent," or even polite. For the youngest of individuals have fixed ideas about right, or no ideas at all, and many don't care; but a reputation for fairness or wisdom or good manners is more frequently deemed worth thinking about. If an appeal to such senses as these has any effect at all, we may as well employ it, for the right and wrong argument has none whatever so far as I have observed.

The doctrine of inherent rights must be affirmed without reflection or not at all. I held to that doctrine for many years, but, as soon as I turned to examine it, I discovered that it was a spectre, and thenceforth fared on —

Like one that on a lonesome road
Doth walk in fear and dread,
And having once turned round walks on
And turns no more his head,
Because he knows a frightful fiend
Doth ciose behind him tread.

That "fiend" was the realization that I had no "rights."

"Have you ever seen a spirit?" inquires Stirner.
"'No, not I, but my grandmother.' Now, you see,"
Stirner proceeds, "it's just so with me too; I myself haven't seen any, but my grandmother had them

con the

> No the If she me

on sho mi or

po al

de sei

ı ri; lx

fa a th

Г

w le

sł

ē

running between her feet all sorts of ways, and out of confidence in our grandmothers' honesty we believe in the existence of spirits."

Have we ever been conscious of possessing rights? No, but our gaudfathers were, and out of respect for their judgment we believe in the existence of rights. If I have rights, so has another man, and if he should define one of his rights as that of controlling me, I do not know how I should refute him. And, if he granted me equal rights, the concession would only lead to a scrapping match to determine which should control the other; and that must be settled by might. The advantage in claiming rights, natural or other, is that you have the affirmative of the proposition, which takes best with the crowd. We have all the rights we can demonstrate, and I leave the demonstration to the other fellow, subject to my dissent, provided I can maintain my right to dissent. If I am ever caught talking about my rights or the rights of another, I want to be understood as I would be should I say that the sun rises—not as affirming a fact contrary to the order of phenomena, but as using a convenient phrase adapted to the hearer's order of thought.

Both religion and morals as well as the "frenzied mob" must feel some concern at the news that in Travers City. Michigan, Sister Mary, a beautiful nun, was "kidnapped at the gate of the convent." But let us forget our apprehensions; maybe that was what she was at the gate for.

In these days, after the so-termed rights of women

have reached high tide and man has become mere, it is natural that there should be some backwash, leaving woman with fewer rights, in certain respects, than she had before. Not in all instances will she protest, but I imagine she will come pretty near registering her strongest kick when the right to be kidnapped, if she wants to be, is wrested from her keeping, or its exercise visited with such notoriety as to take all the fun out of it.

The precepts of economy which youth may follow without detriment turn to folly when practised by the aged. Having to walk once the mile or more between the steamboat landing and the railroad depot at Portland, Me., I gave my valise and ten cents to a man who was going my way with an express wagon. But a thin old man about seventy years old, who had attached himself to me, not only carried his own freight. but rebuked me for wasting the dime. In self-defence I said I could recover an equal amount when I got back on my job in New York; and, to prove myself as reckless in one way as another, I offered to carry his satchel to the train if he lacked a dime to pay for transportation. "Young man," he replied, "I have more dimes than I could count in a year if my property was turned into ten-cent pieces. It's the principle of economy I am talking about," and he shifted his heavy grip from his right hand to his left. "But you are spending your strength," I said; "got lots of it to spare, I suppose; more than you could use up carrying trunks in a hundred years." The thought penetrated his mind. "No," he sighed, "mighty

little.
carpet-l
back, m
dor't fe
did the
"Then
what yo
from yo
young

lighten so on, to lose them, l fools? ference same b

only c

good r

slightly

are too

and say

of their

anv im

ery.

big ga fun; t the oce droppe

Wis polyga

it

een

ht. ce 88

ıt

little. I'm not, at all sure it will last till I get this carpet-bag to the depot." "But you will get it all back, maybe," I told him. He shook his head. "I don't feel as strong come another day at my age as I did the day before." "But richer?" "Yes."

"Then you are practising economy by holding on to what you can get back, and spending what is gone from you forever?" "Oh, ave, I suppose so; but,

young man, it's the principle."

There are whole communities like this man in a slightly different way. They hang on to precepts they are too old to use profitably, are sparing of change, and save laws too numerous to count, while prodigal of their liberties, though these are the only things of any importance to them, and once gone are past recovery. What good does it do us to get superior enlightenment, intelligence, improved notions of life, and so on, if we are restrained in the use of them, and are to lose all the benefits due us through having attained them, by laws made for barbarians, savages, and fools? It is the boast of the law that it knows no difference between the high and the low. Shucks! The same brag could be made for a donkey, and would only confirm his identity with the ass. There are a good many precepts and rules of conduct that are like big game. You follow them for awhile and find it fun; then they turn and follow you, which furnishes the occasion when, in my view, they can profitably be dropped behind.

With the intent, perhaps, to discover and extrude polygamists, there was inserted among the questions asked in a circular sent out to postmasters of the country, in the preparation of the Government Blue Book,

this:

"What are your marital relations?" The compiler of the list, one Merrit O. Chance, chief clerk of the post-office department, declares that the question was put to find out whether the postmasters are "married or single, widowed or divorced"; but that excuse is a little too thin. Anyway it doesn't elicit the information the chief clerk pretends that he wants. For instance, one incumbent filled in the blank space with the word "Hell." How can the post-office department, or any other mob of experts, determine from that answer whether the party who suffers hell is married or single, widowed or divorced, or a polygamist?

LIBERTY

If Liberty can consent to become yellow for one number, it might evoke the judgment of its constituents on the significance of that postmaster's answer. This is the formula: "Reader, what is your opinion?"

#### NO EXCEPTION TO THE RILLE

When the skiff is on the water and is loaded to the rail, And the most judicious handling is required to make her float, It's a rule, the wise ones tell us, which was never known to fail, That in these said circumstances 'tis the fool who rocks the boat.

If the craft we call the nation bore our commerce in her hold,-Being freighted with a cargo she was never built to tote,and was dipping gunwales under every time she pitched or

Would you call it an exception when 'twas Teddy rocked the

GEORGE E. MACDONALD.

wi en

ca a al di

ra H

ou

fic

wl

N

de

be

di

rig th

ot

fa

## THE FARCICAL SUCCESSION

So Roosevelt is to efface himself, after all, at the end of his present term. The Provincetown speech, with its eighteen-months programme of "defiant" law-enforcement against "rich malefactors," and the Taft candidacy and chase after delegates, seem to preclude a third term. "Seem," of course; for with Roosevelt all rules fail, and what is impossible to-day may be indispensable next year. But the probabilities, at any rate, point to the elimination of Roosevelt.

And Taft is his candidate, the ticketed and stamped and guaranteed representative of Roosevelt's policies. He is of the approved "type," and the reactionaries ought to tremble.

But, curiously enough, they are quite complacent. Rockefeller has indorsed Taft; the Wall street organs at bottom find him more than acceptable, though the "Sun" affects disappointment at his servility and fidelity to the terribly destructive Roosevelt. Now, what is Taft? What does his record suggest?

His speeches may be dismissed as buncombe. They are dull and heavy buncombe, and wanting in skill. Nothing could be more clumsy than the at'empt to deal with the Southern question. The negroes should be good; Booker T. Washington is their true prophet; they should be treated with justice, and without discrimination; the Southern whites should do what is right by them; the Fifteenth amendment does not say that every negro should have a vote; property and other qualifications, provided they are uniform and fair. After such brilliant statesmanship Taft could

LIBERTY

well afford to avow "optimism" on the race question. As for the disfranchisement acts already in force and the other anti-negro amendments that are pending at this time; as to the violations of the Fifteenth amendment, the protests of the negroes who have not been put to sleep by the Booker T. Washington "industrial" formula; as to the demand of aggressive Reablicans for reduction of Southern representation in mgress,—why touch upon such unpleasant things? Why make a fat humbug uncomfortable?

On the railroad question Taft is as bold as a lion. Regulate? Of course he would regulate; but it pains him to know that Bryan is advocating a valuation of the property of the railroads. That is needless and useless; it would only annoy the managers and directors. What has the value of a railroad's property to do with its rates? Not all roads are paying dividends; some are even bankrupt; and this conclusively shows that valuation could give us no light on the reasonableness of rates. It is competition that determines rates-where there's any. Where competition has been eliminated by mergers and agreements, the ability to pay (what the traffic will bear) is the determinator. But suppose shippers complain of certain rates as excessive, and it becomes necessary to determine whether they are reasonable or not; what would be the courts' test? Would not the value of the property, the amount invested in it, as distinguished from water and wind, etc.. be material and decidedly relevant evidence? Oh, Taft is opposed to stock inflation and stock gambling; he would even prohibit such things by an amendment to the rate act. But please

exci

since

(rol plus cana pose

disc

unti tion not swo

not

" pi

Taf

Wh necessary

pos

tha tric gra spec

the jury pun was

tha the ing

ing

excuse him from favoring valuation.

Swollen fortunes are very bad for the republic; but, since to government needs no additional revenue (robbing the people so adroitly that it has a large surplus in spite of pensions, huge naval programmes, canal schemes, steals, and waste), Taft would not impose any inheritance or income taxes. Let the States discourage swellen fortunes (what! irrespective of tevenue needs?); the federal government should wait until its present taxes proved insufficient. The reduction of taxes on incomes that are not swollen Taft has not thought of as at all proper. The question how swollen fortunes are accumulated, and whether it is not possible to prevent dishonest and iniquitous "piles" instead of taxing them a little afterwards, Taft cannot be expected so much as to recognize.

Taft's earnestness as a tariff reformer 1 pass over. Where he shines particularly as a radical is in connection with the injunction business. He very solemnly advised the Oklahomans to vote down the proposed State constitution, and his greatest objection to that hell-born instrument, that tissue of intolerable tricks and wrongs, is based on its injunction paragraphs. I read in a press summary of the Oklahoma speech:

Mr. Taft commented at length on the necessity of n the power of the courts, and condemned the requirement anat jury trial should intervene between an order of injunction and punishment for its violation. He said that the writ of injunction was one of the most beneficial writs that a court could have, and that it is just as useful in defence of the poor as in the defence of the rich; and any weakening of it as an instrument for remedying wrongs would operate in favor of the rich malefactor.

LIBERTY

Mind, the Oklahoma constitution does not forbid the issuance of injunctions; it merely provides that, where contempt of an injunction is charged, the judge shall not have the power arbitrarily to send men to prison. No, says Taft, the reformer, we can't allow this; the injunction is a beneficent instrument, and, if the poor man knew what was good for him, he would cry for more injunctions. It's the rich malefactor who should dread them.

Strange, isn't it, that the rich malefactors have not lifted a finger to fight injunctions! The corporations send lawyers to Washington to oppose and defeat every effort to limit the use of the injunction, but perhaps labor and the rest of us misunderstand them; perhaps they are anxious that the rich malefactors should receive no benefit from any weakening of that noble instrument.

I wonder if Taft went on to demonstrate that jail sentences for contempt, without jury trials, are also necessary and beneficial to the poor. If not, his "argument" is incomplete and beside the point. Gr is that too obvious to need demonstration? Will Taft name the rich malefactors that have been sent to jail for contempt in violating injunctions obtained against them by the poor?

But enough about Taft's reform spirit. Roosevelt, the radical, the bête noire of Wall street, the august protector of Socialists and Anarchists (according to Harvey), guarantees Taft's progressiveness. What more does the country need?

Seriously, is Taft humbugging Roosevelt, is the latter humbugging the dear people, or himself, or both?

What a world, r

3375

THE

Agains great phi son, Wal troubles l daring, th from who Viking of Spinoza the puppi

their palt like preci terms; th mediate e say that i a school l act, Spintangle im virtuous a Sin and

much fait yond wor height to gressions The docti of Amster evolving Rappacin free will and he sn caught in

From h logical in He swam into the u

and the se

are seen t

What a farce we are witnessing! This is a mad world, my masters.

S. R.

## THE PHILOSOPHERS OF UNREPENTANCE

[Benjamin De Casseres in the New York "San"]

Against Dante and his panoramic hell I should like to pit the great philosophers of unrepentance, - Goethe, Nietzsche, Emerson, Walt Whitman, and especially Spinoza. He whom Dante troubles let him read Spinoza-Spinoza the remorseless and the daring, the master non-moralist, the first philosophic Overman. from whose spiritual loins sprang the Olympian Goethe and that Viking of modern free thought, Friedrich Nietzsche.

Spinoza, from the other side of "good" and "evil." watched the puppies playing on the hearth of mother earth and dissected their paltry, soul-enslaving emotions and beliefs with surgeonlike precision. To him "good" and "evil" were relative terms; they mean nothing to the brain that sees beyond the immediate effect of each act. There is only necessity, which is to say that two and two make four in the moral world as well as on a school blackboard. What is the ultimate outcome of each act, Spinoza asked. Our "sins" may breed in time's mighty tangle immemorial virtues, and our finest thought and most virtuous act may in time bring forth pain.

Sin and pain and evil never troubled Spinoza. He had too much faith. He asked the world to come with him into the beyond world of the intellect and understanding, and from that height to be old ourselves and our serio-comic virtues and transgressions as his immovable, placid, passion-dry God saw them. The doctrine of human rest insibility was held by this great Jew of Amsterdam to be a recessary lie. Historically society is an evolving illusion, and it thrives on poisons, like the daughter of Rappacini in Hawthorne's tale. To Spinoza the doctrine of free will was a blasphemy. Any other will but God's, he asked, and he smiled the mocking smile of all wisdom. The fox is caught in the gin; the star is sentenced to the law of its orbit, and the souls of men are matrixed in their destinies.

From his eyes Benedict Spinoza brushed roughly away theological invention—the slime-matted seaweed of human illusion. He swam to the point where all latitudes converge and escaped into the unarithmetical spaces, the point from which all things are seen to be transitory, vain, relative, illusive, except that

LIBERTY

point of insight which perceives and notes the transitory and relative. That is absolute; and it was at that hypothetical point Spinoza set up his everlasting rest. He called it God.

Spinoza's philosophy is as superior to Dante's as his life was sublimer at every point.

## IRRESISTIBLE BAIT

Henry Murger, author of "Vie de Bohême," summering once in Chambon, at the house of Villemessant, the founder of Le Figure, went frog-fishing, his host accompanying him. Several hours passed without the capture of a single frog, in spite of the appetizing worms which he fastened to his book.

"You will get nothing that way," said Villemessant. "To catch frogs you must have a scarlet bait. I am going to look for a bit of red rag for you."

"Oh! don't trouble yourself," said Murger; "I have just the thing."

And, pulling the ribbon of the Legion of Honor from his buttonhole, he attached it to his line, adding:

"They will bite at that; everybody does."

## TOLSTOL IN 1861

The tenth volume of Proudhon's correspondence contains a letter dated April 7, 1861, in which occurs the following curious passage relative to Tolstoi: "All Russia is in a state of joy. In accord with the nobles, and after consulting everylody, the czar has issued his emancipation proclamation. You should see the pride of these nobles thereat. A very learned man, M. Tolstoi, with whom I had a conversation lately, said to me: 'Now there is an emancipation worthy of the name. We do not send away our serfs empty-handed; with liberty we give them property?"

## MR. DOOLEY NO LAW-AND-ORDER-FAKIR

| New York " Times "]

If I had to bring up a flock iv wild childher in Ar-rchey Road, I wudden't much care what they larned about th' thrue habits iv th' elk or th' chambok, but I'd teach thim what I cud iv th' habits, th' lairs, an' th' bite iv th' polisman on th' beat.

WH. How de

White Deplore Òf th The ins

Repe And ye Could

> What i Their To drop And

Tis Gi Thes To boo The

And, w 'Tis Till, to

We ! Lean b " A But tha

And Love's

And But oft By (

WHAT IS THE MATTER WITH GRAFT?

WHAT IS THE MATTER WITH GRAFT?

How deep must every righteous soul Which starts at cunning, greed, and craft Deplore in public life the rule Of that which bears the name of Graft! The instincts of all honest gents Repel, dispraise, condemn, and flout it, And vet we know that governments

What is't that bids our able men Their private walks to abdicate, To drop the plough, the plane, the pen. And grasp the wabbling helm of State? 'Tis Graft's persuasive voice that calls These saviours of a menaced nation To boost in legislative halls The flag and an appropriation.

Could never get along without it.

And, when the trump of war is blown, 'Tis futile as a rifted lute Till, to evoke its mighty tone, We raise the wind that makes it toot. Lean bank accounts must own the draft-"A tax" the strenuous patriots phrase it; But that's a euphemy for Graft, And grafters, hence, are they who raise it.

Love's god might find his business slow, And launch in vain his feeble shaft, But oft the arrow from his bow By Cupid aimed is sped by Graft.

And no man incompletely broke, Though blind and deaf and propped on crutches, Need miss in person, home, or poke "Those undefinable feminine touches."

When public spirit hunts its hole, When patriotism's ardor cools, When charity keeps pack its dole, And duty's tasks are left to foois; Who then the Statehouse shall erect? Our jails, so useful, who will build them? Why, grafters! Whom could you expect Except the men who should have filled them?

Philanthropy's bright cause may fail, And human helpfulness be flown. While Altruism, sick and pale, Turns to the Ego and His Own. All this may be when men have quaffed The drink that Apathy is brewing; But raise once more the horn of Graft, And straightway there'll be something doing. GEORGE E. MACDONALD.

An eminent surgeon, travelling through the valley of Saint-Béat in the Pyrenees, learned from the inhabitants of a poor village that there was no doctor in the neighborhood. "What!" said he, "not a single practitioner of the medical

art! How, then, do you get along?" "The best we can," answered an old man; "we die ourselves."—Gil Blas.

\* Tran

1113

in chi

showi

biddir

dows,

Who

to sur

memo

woma

charm

the m

overst

Ruber

exhib

brate

late v

For

3379

In

R

ad,

go so domes guilty

young the fa chose united

(verlo to pla appro

offer i

## JUST THE CITY FOR THE ALTRURIANS\*

A friend writes us from Vienna that the authorities in charge of public modesty in that charming city are showing a disagreeable excess of zeal. They are forbidding booksellers to display the nude in their windows, not excepting die most famous masterpieces. Who is the Austrian functionary who has sworn thus to surpass our vicomie de La Rochefoucauld, of chaste memory? Modesty is a delightful virtue in a woman; in an administration it loses much of its charm. The intentions of the Viennese consors are the most laudable in the world; unhappily, they overstep the permitted limits. And here we have Rubens absolutely convicted of pornography!

For the picture-dealers have been forbidden to exhibit reproductions of the Antwerp master's celebrated picture, "The Daughters of Leucippus." The late vicomte Sosthène himself would not have dared to go so far. From the point of view of healthy domestic morality it is evident that the Dioscuri were guilty of a blameworthy act in carrying off those two young ladies. And their conduct was aggravated by the fact that for the commission of this rape they chose the very day when the Messenian princesses were united in legitimate wedlock to their two sweethearts (verlobte). Viennese authority undoubtedly intends to place upon Castor and Pollux the mark of its disapprobation. On this score we have no reproaches to offer it. Only it is deplorable that the counter-stroke

\*Translated from "Le Temps,"

LIBERTY

should fall upon Rubens. He does not figure in the act himself. His role is confined to a relation, in the most loval fashion possible, of this regrettable assault upon morals. The atmost with which we could reproach him is his plea of extenuating circumstances for the divine ravishers, by the way in which he has exposed the body of the offence. When one finds himself before the masterpiece, it is certain that, the first moment of indignation over, one surprises oneself in the act of finding vague excuses for the two Olympian Apaches. Such indeed seems to have been the feeling of Rubens. The master had a wonderful knowledge of the old fables: he had learned from the Jesuit fathers to read Ovid in the original. He knew that the Leucippedes, after an honorable resistance, resigned themselves to their fate. He chose the moment when this resistance is spending its last energies. For being translated into abandoned postures, it is none the less as meritorious as graceful. After all, this adventure terminated in a mythical double marriage. The Viennese authorities should not forget that.

Not only are their scruples excessive; we fear that they are ineffective as well. Let us waive the picture of the "Daughters of Leucippus." This sample of the joyous Flemish paganism belongs to the Munich museum. By prohibiting the display of its reproductions Austrian authority may flatter itself that it is protecting the bourgeois of the Prater, when attending a wedding ceremony, from the temptation to imitate the Dioscuri. But is not that a thoroughly bureaucratic candor which prevents the vulgarization of another masterpiece of Rubens,—"The Little Pelisse"?

The \ to loc

338

other and v Co

virtue for th the p from

> adore dor o the in him t

and g nymj adde once

More Four beaut

at be Poste for s In

that gout. unex

sadne beau Rube

paint

of Ve

Viennese censori!

The Viennese have only to enter the Vienna museum to look with all their eyes at the painting itself, quite otherwise suggestive than its translations into black and white. Then what?

Contempt of ridicule is an incomparable civic virtue. To prosecute Rubens and Hélène Fourment for the offence of immodesty is to push this virtue to the point of heroism. If there was ever a soul free from ugliness, it was that of the great and ingenuous adorer of all the spectacles of life. Such was the candor of this pagan of the North and such his faith in the innocence of the beautiful that it never occurred to him that he showed any lack of respect for his dear and gentle Hélène in painting her as a goddess or a nymph. To this tranquilly unconscious frankness he added all the delicacies of the tenderest husband, at once indiscreet painter and irreproachable spouse. Moreover, the most scantily clad portraits of Hélène Fourment betray no emberrassment in the model; the beautiful and happy creature does not seem to suffer at being exposed to the admiration of the centuries. Posterity would pursue a graceless course in searching for sin where the wife of Rubens scorned to see any.

In his last years, already seized with the malady that was destined to carry him off, martyrized by gout, surprised in his triumphant happiness by that unexpected visitor, melancholy, the man of joy defied sadness by multiplying the public avowals of the beauty of Hélène. One of the last royal clients of Rubens was Philip IV of Spain, a prince so mad over painting that he was not content with the possession of Vélasquez. The king, morose and pious,—let it

be told in the circles of Viennese clerical sm. -was not afraid of mythological nudities. He had ordered of the Antwerp master a series of paintings, according to the "Metamorphoses," for his hunting pavilion at Torre de la Parada. The king's own brother. governor of the Spanish Netherlands, was charged with looking after the work and hastening its completion. The cardinal-infante, Don Fernando, did not know much about painting; that soldier, as little of a scholar as possible, preferred war and the chase to the arts. He did his best to convey to the artist the requirements of the royal client, but, he wrote to his brother, "in these matters this Rubens is more competent than I." After receiving twenty-five pictures, Philip IV called for eighteen more. The marvel of this second collection sent from Antwerp to Madrid was "The Judgment of Paris." Don Fernando wrote to the king: "All the painters say that it is undoubtedly Rubens's best work. I reproach him with only one fault, regarding which I have not been able to obtain satisfaction, -namely, the excessive nudity of the three goddesses; the artist's answer is that precisely in this lies the merit of the painting." And the cardinal added, with no thought of malice: "The Venus placed in the centre is a striking likeness of the painter's wife, the most beautiful lady of Ant-

LIBERTY

It is true that Don Fernando, although a cardinal from the age of fourteen, had a license to return to the world and take a wife if he saw fit. There was

werp." May this indulgence of a prince of the

church appease the conscientious scruples of the

JUST THE CITY FOR THE ALTRURIANS

even talk of a marriage with the excellent Mademoiselle de Montpensier, the perpetually unmarried. She would not have said no, in the first place because she never said no, and then became this dashing soldier of the church was highly pleasing to her. "He had," she says, "a very good figure, although small, and as handsome a face as a perfectly honest man can have." What a pity that this marriage did not take place! Frankly, Don Fernando was better than Lauzun; Mademoiselle would have known, in addition to conjugal bliss, the joy of being painted by Rubens. And we, posterity, should be in a position to judge whether she abuses our credulity when she

## HISTORIC INTERVIEW

declares in her "Memoirs": "I have a trim leg and

["Gii Blas "]

A small reception-100m in a grand hotel of Marienbad. - Furniture of a small reception-room in a grand hotel. - The pieces of furniture have the air of saying to each other: " Let us not forget that the syes of Europe are upon us.

> HIS MAJESTY THE KING OF ENGLAND THE PRIME MINISTER OF FRANCE

"Then, my dear president, you have drunk your water?"

"Yes, Sire."

a shapely foot."

"It has had its effect?"

"Yes, Sire."

"Directly?"

"Alas! no, Sire. I have had to wait nearly three weeks to obtain a really satisfactory result."

"Of course. That is always the way with the stomach. But things are going well now?"

"Very well, Sire."

"So much the better, so much the better!"

" May I venture, Sire, in my turn, to ask you if you are satished with your cure?"

"Why, yes, my dear president."

"It is for the benefit of your kidneys, I believe, that Your Majesty takes these annual cures?"

"Yes, my dear president."

"Has Your Majesty taken your water regularly?"

"Certainly. It was not amusing, but I took it."

" And the effect?"

"Pretty good."

" From the start, Sire?"

"Oh, no! These matters do not go so fast. Not for several days did I get a satisfactory result.'

"I am really glad to hear i', Sire."

THE HEAD WAITER. - "His Majesty is served."

#### [Special dispatch]

The king of England and the prime minister of France had a very cordial interview, en tête à tête, before breakfast. They considered the questions now uppermost in the public mind, and it is thought that their interview will do much to strengthen the entente cirdiale.

The indefinite prolongation of literary property would be the actual disinheritance of the property whose creation the writer or the thinker had specially in view. For whom does the writer really worthy of the name toil? Let us have the courage to say it without fear of ridicule: he works for posterity, for glery, to spread opinions that seem to him just. Now, a sincere Christiem inheriting property in those works of Eugène Sue that are about to fall into the public domain would be able to suppress them; and, from his point of view, he would be right in doing so. Likewise some modest creature might annihilate the verses of Musset, thinking them corrupting in their influence and burning with an ardor too profane. - Edouard Dumont, in "La Libre Parole."

The Torge, to the prisoner at the bar. - "The officers caught you in the act of taking from this autortunate man his watch and chain, his pocket-book, -everything that he had."

THE PRISONER .- "I was making a trial of the income tax."

-Le Figaro.

··TH

3385

The a title has

error th authorit marks, from th soul of painted tion of appeare

Stut where found: mome solutio minute than a congre and w who w only if

> The those ally, l abund

abund

and he

their p By hardly

"THE SOUL OF MAN UNDER SOCIALISM"

## "THE SOUL OF MAN UNDER SOCIALISM"

The attractive essay to which Oscar Wilde gave the above title has done not a little mischief by encouraging people in the error that the goal, liberty, may be reached by the route of authority. For this reason I give the same title, in quotation marks, to the following powerful portrayal (rendered in English from the French, of the effect that Socialism has had upon the soul of man thus far. The picture is the more telling because painted by a man whose blas is in favor of the Socialistic solution of economic problems, M. Sanat-Georges de Bouhelier. It appeared in "L'Aurore" during the Stuttgart congress.

Stuttgart is at present the seat of solemn assizes, where one may observe the method of laying the foundations of a creed. It is strange that, at the moment when Catholic discipline is falling into dissolution, another discipline is taking shape, equally minute and equally atrabilarious. For even more than at Amsterdam and at the previous Socialist congresses there is to be seen at Stuttgart a cavilling and well-nigh frantic passion for subjection. Not all who wish are welcome, and one is worthy of admission only if one is unified. Already there have been abundant exclusions from which there is no appeal, and heresy threatens everybody. . . . .

These processes are not very sympathetic. Even to those who, like myself, see in Socialism, and especially, let us confess it, in the prolétariat, the most abundant reserve of the future our unified are making their party not very attractive.

By taking away even the right to think they can hardly hope to please those who find their glory in

thought. Their first step in expropriation is the seizure of intelligence. I doubt if, as a result, talent will gravitate in their direction. . . . . It does not seem to me that any one of value will be desirous of having his inner liberty absorbed by the mass.

LIBERTY

For there is one thing certain: here no one can ever pretend to prevail. No man has any importance if he thinks for himself; he soon finds himself completely alone; originality attracts suspicion, strips off power, annihilates. If you do not wish to act with the crowd, you will be taxed with infamy. For there is no truth save that approved by the federations or committees, national or other. Notice, moreover, that in this party the chiefs themselves are under universal control, and that they lead you less than you lead them. You, the numerous mass, the enormous anonymity, the variable and floating mystery of the wrangling-halls, you have the mastery of Jan es of Bebel, and, instead of the wise man thinking for the ignorant, the innumerable absurdity of the fanatical and illiterate multitude substitutes itself for the wise man and imposes itself upon him. Is there a more deplorable sight, one that inspires greater pain, than that of such minds in a condition of servitude? The recantations of Jaurès obliged by a vote to retract his faith and to practise principles contrary to his own must be a source of humiliation to this magnificent lyre poet. Is not man's holiest liberty outraged then? And to what tyranny have you then submitted yourselves that you must thus abdicate your own royal spiritual gift and lower yourself to such postures of solemn adjuration! . . . .

his v cone

en ti and arm. his s

infal

no e

and

of ed

this cong

follo

that

cong

cept

abso

And

let it

can '

own

cong

upon

able

each

recor

Who

O

N

ter ŧο 12.1

and

hims

In the narrow circle of the federations no one keeps his will intact; no individual has the power to intervene according to his own conception. When he is on the point of rising to express his wish, the frightful and obscure party spirit seizes him, so to speak, by the arm, and nine times out of ten he is forced to keep his seat under the threat of heresy. The "changing infallibility" of the doctrine admits no initiative and no escape; at the present moment you must act so and so, and the sole decision to be taken is a matter of edict. Indeed, it is needless to discuss with oneself this affair or that; examine the resolutions of the last congress; there you will find your orders, and you will follow them. Accept them without any ifs or buts; that is the only orthodox course—until the next congress.

Next year it may be detestable or unworthy to accept them; what is taught to-day as peremptory is not absolute. What say you? That you are a patriot? And until when? As yet the party is patriotic. But let it cease, by an official vote, to be so, and no longer can you be patriotic either. . . . .

On points still under discussion you can follow your own tendency; but, so soon as the doctrine of the congress is formulated, you will have no right to act upon any other. However, there is nothing irremediable; as the party changes its opinion on all subjects, each one is permitted to hope that chance may effect a reconciliation. But for the moment you are to obey. Whose bends to the regnant idea is never wrong, and one is a right-thinking man if, instead of guiding himself in his own fashion, he conforms to the party.

LIBERTY

In all matters the party substitutes itself for you. On the sulject of God or country; for the design or the extraordinary; on matters of a private mature and of domestic life; on the subject of wages, labor contracts, and affairs national or international,—on all these the party has its own ideas which it imposes upon us, and its principles alone are good. Outside the party all is wickedness and abomination. That is the general sentiment among the unified.

I know very well, however, what they say: we do not wish to enslave the individual, but, on the contrary, to free him. Meantime you take away his prime possession,—his liberty to think. The mystic, magnificent, inexhaustible treasure; that which neither persecutions or miseries or the worst misfortunes diminish; that which makes of the dirty and wretched beggar, if he is conscious of his intellectual wealth, a noble hero and the most royal king,--this you filch from everyone who joins you. And no one withdraws save at his peril. I see in this a great human danger. You have created a new authority, and, with fine phrases about healthy liberation on your lips, you organize the basest of servitudes; under pretext of liberating yourselves, you begin a course of opposition to the State, but under orders of a party more hostile to the individual than any State. In favor of our desires for freedom you labor to reestablish obscurantism. You complain of tyrannies and oppressions and religions, and, with the versatile errors of your crowds, you establish your active and mobile dogmatism. Is it from the wisdom of the great man that you derive your conceptions and your principles
With
Amor
preher
talent
For y

3389

When in a grant cause of sion of many such when,

want

One bank, graphs has.
Therefor pro

hither become is not: So t is fana Yes,

fanation This ordina police which Morocothey h

they h other i This On

the

ll is

ciples? No, it is from the Consensium Omnium. With you individuals are objects of suspicion. Among you intelligence is ill at ease; it inspires apprehension, and suffers therefrom. Be sure that real talent will less and less go toward you in sincerity. For your whole organization is hostile to it; your committees and your congresses plot its ruin; you want fanatical slaves, not frank and free thinkers.

## A LACK OF RECIPROCITY

[H. Barduin in "Le Matin "]

When one has taken refuge, as I have at the present moment, in a grassy nook far from Paris, nature and the air of the fields cause one to discover within himself a simple soul of the possession of which he was not aware. But the comprehension of many things escapes him.

Such is the case, for instance, with this Morocco business when, reading the recent news, one traces effects back to causes.

One asks oneself why we need wish the Moroccans to have a bank, custom-houses, policemen, street railways, harbors, telegraphs with wires and without, and all other things that Europe

They declare that all these things are perfectly useless, and for proof point out that they have done very well without them hitherto. And, as we insist and put our hands to the work, they become distrustful. These people understand evidently that it is not solely their happiness that we have in view.

So they resist, and straightway we declare that this resistance is fanaticism.

Yes, it is actually thus that the question is stated. One is a fanatic when one pretends to remain master in his own house.

This matter of international police is one of the most extraordinary inventions imaginable. It is understood that this police is to maintain order and prevent pillage and murder, which would be excellent in itself, but for the fact that the Moroccans assert that their conduct is a family affair and that they have the right to steal from each other, and to kill each other if they see fit.

This right is indisputable. So the Europeans answer: "You

LIBERTY

are not the only people in Morocco; we are there also,—we who wish to traffic in your country and exploit in it peace."

Right there is the source of the trouble,—in this lack of reciprocity, the Europeans insisting on exploiting Morocco whereas the Moroccans have no desire and no power to exploit Europe.

## THE MAD ALIENISTS

["Gil Blas"]

Lombroso was recently made the victim of a practical joke. He passed an adverse judgment on the murderer Soleilland on the strength of photographs of hands, these photographs representing in reality the hands of two other individuals. As a result, the Italian scientist has been made the target of no end of ridicule.

No science can pretend to infallibility. One of the most amusing stories in demonstration of this is that told by Aurélien Scholl regarding a dinner at which he was a guest in company with Legrand du Saule, the famous alienist.

The dinner was given by a man of letters, who, being a little anxious about certain peculiarities manifested by his daughter, desired to give the great specialist an opportunity to observe her discreetly, and so adopted the device of this banquet, to which a number of well-known Parisians were invited. The dinner was very gay; the most diverse subjects were introduced, and the most paradoxical theories set forth.

Legrand du Saule, faithful to his role, alone refrained from joining in the general animation. He listened most attentively, however, and, toward the end of the dinner, leaned over toward the master of the house, and said in his ear:

"You may rest easy as to your daughter. She is subject to a slight nervousness, which will soon pass away. But there is one of your guests whose condition is most disturbing, and whose case, I fear, is most hopeless."

"To whom do you refer?"

"That fat man yonder, with the face of a monk, who seems to laugh so heartly."

The host looked, with curious and anxious gaze, at the guest indicated by Legrand du Saule: it was Ernest Renan!

The vice interesting friends the quall the

339

many
brough
tion of
fully l

How
yoursel

body's the gre though has in t And it part of deposit you car money to any!

whom well the fellow-God's cerned for our law, or

deposit

sion (W) ity, he illogic

No

rho

of

## "OUR" LAWS "OUR" LAWS

The series of articles on "The Science of Social Service" now running in the "Public" promise to be interesting and instructive. At a restaurant two friends are having an after-dinner chat-musing over the question of how they got the dinner. In this way all the intricacies of the exchange of service from many to one and from one to many are clearly brought to light. When the talk turns to the question of payment for the dinner, Mr. Post is delightfully lucid. He says:

How did we get the money? You must answer that question yourself, for you paid our dinner check. If you picked somebody's pocket for it, you haven't paid for our dinner,—not in the great "round up" or equilibrium of social service,—even though Joseph is satisfied. The man you robbed, and not you, has in that case involuntarily paid for two dinners he hasn't had. And it is much the same-don't be startled--if the money was part of your income from royalties for that Pennsylvania coal deposit in which you have an interest. For don't you see that you can no more pay for dinners with coal royalties than with money picked from somebody's pocket? You render no service to anybody by giving miners permission to work natural coal deposits. Why not? Because neither you nor anyone from whom you get title made these coal deposits. You might as well think you were rendering human service by permitting your fellow-men to breathe God's air as by permitting them to dig God's coal. So far as the equilibrium of social service is concerned, it doesn't make a particle of difference whether you paid for our dinner with money picked from a pocket against the law, or extorted from coal miners according to law.

Now, this would never do for a University Extension Course in Economics

When he touches upon the question of responsibility, however, Mr. Post becomes both respectable and illogical. He says:

LIBERTY

Of course, if you paid for our dinner with coal royalties, the fault is no more yours than mine and Joseph's and the miners' and all the read for allowing our laws to give an institutional advantage to you.

So, when our commits a crime by means of an instrument, the responsibility is not especially his, nor does it belong to the persons who provide the instrument. The fault is equally the victim's, and yours and mine, for allowing this instrument to be what it is! A man is to be held accountable, not for what he does, but for what others do!

Here is a case where Nothing and Something are identical. FRED SCHULDER.

## A SOCIALIST'S LESSON IN SOCIALISM

["Le Matin"]

It is the duty of school-teachers in Germany to vote against the Socialists. So has declared the Gotha court in the case of a teacher who had voted for a Social Democrat, and had brought suit against a newspaper that had violated the secrecy of the ballot. The case was dismissed, and the court, in its decision, laid down the following doctrine:

"The court is of the opinion that every non-officeholding citizen is free to vote for whom he likes, but that a teacher, being an official, is guilty of a serious offence in voting for a Socialist. Moreover, his action is imprudent, for the school rests on the principle of authority, and, if the teacher supports the enemies of the State's authority, he cuts off the branch of the tree on which he perches."

## ANOTHER OF THE SAME SORT

St. Petersburg, Sept. 24.—The Holy Synod has issued a decree announcing that soldiers are exempted from the operation of the manifesto of October last, giving subjects the right to change their religious belief. The decree adds that so long as soldiers are serving with the colors they must remain members of the Orthodox church.

and 1111,5 WOL fron

> cone Ιt the . cave awa crev hide spid cros

whe deta caul and cup and cell

stre wai pale A dar with

diss wor

terday w

" But

"The

" But

"We

In this

like a

In the

never fo

in life; a

ment we

the help

knows th

the man

Each on

lamps or

afterwar

## SHADOWS \*

When the sun no longer shines in the heavens, on the earth rises the twilight. The twilight—the vast night army of the twilight and so invisible detachments and millions of warriors. A mighty army, which from time immemorial battles against the world, retreats every morning, conquers every evening, reigns from the setting to the rising of the sun—and daily, defeated, conceals itself in nests, and waits.

It waits in the bigh mountains and in the cellars of the city, in the dense forests and in the dark lakes. It waits, concealing itself in the eternal caverns of the earth, in the mines, in the caves, in the corners of the houses. Dispelled and almost driven away, it nevertheless fills every hiding-place. It is in every crevice in the bark of the trees, in the folds of men's coats; it hides itself under the tiniest grain of sand, clings to the thinnest spider-web, and waits. Alarmed in one place, it immediately crosses to another, using every occasion to return to the spot whence it is driven forth to crawl upon unoccupied positions and spread over the earth.

When the sun goes down, the army of the twilight in heavy detachments comes forth from its hiding-places, silently and cautiously. It fills the corridors of the houses, the vestibules and the badly-lighted stairways; it forsakes its posts under the cupboards and tables, crawls away from the middle of the room and seats itself on the curtains; through the ventilators of the cellar and through the window-glass it pushes itself into the streets; in heavy silence it attacks the walls, the roofs, and, waiting on the towers, it remains quiet till the red clouds grow pale in the west.

Another moment, and suddenly comes a great outbreak of darkness which rises to the heavens. The beasts conceal thenselves in their resting-places, man goes home; life, like plants without water, shrivels and begins to wilt. Color and form dissolve into a blank; fear, error, and crime begin to rule the world.

At this moment on the almost deserted streets of Warsaw appears a strange human creature with a little flame over his head. He rapidly runs through the street, as if the darkness were pur-

suing him, stops close to each lamp a moment, and, making a bright light, 'he disappears, like a shadow. And each day is the same. Whether over the fields the springtime fills everything with the fragrance of the flowers, whether peals of thunder and flishes of hightnung proclaim the reign of July, whether autumn winds disperse dense fogs through the streets, or winter's snow mest through the air, this man, always, when evening comes, runs with his little flame through the streets, lights the lanterns, and afterwards disappears, like a shadow.

LIBERTY

Whence do you come, man, and where do you hide yourself, that we neither know your face nor hear your veice? Have you a wife or a mother, who waits for your home-coming? Or children, who, putting your lantern away in the corner, climb over your knees and encircle your neck? Have you friends, to whom you tell your good-luck or failure; or at least acquaintances, with whom you can speak about every-day affairs? Have you a home, where one can find you; a name, by which one may call you; needs and feelings, which make you a man like ourselves? Or are you really a creature without form, silent and incomprehensible, who appears only in the twilight, lights the lamps, and then disappears, like a shadow?

They told me that this really was a man; they even gave me his address. I went there, and asked the janitor:

"Does the man who? this the street-lamps live here?"
"Yes."

"And where?"

"In that little room."

The little room was closed. I looked through the window, but I saw only a simple bed against the wall and the lantern on an old stick. The man was away.

"At least you can tell me something about him, what he looks like?"

"Who can know?" responded the janitor, shrugging his shoulders. "Even I do not know him well"—he said—"for he is never at home in the daytime."

After two years I came the second time.

"Is the lamplighter at home to-day?"

"Oh!" said the janitor, "he is not, and he will not be. Yesterday they buried him. He is dead."

The janitor meditated. Asking of him some details, he sent me to the grave-digger.

"Tell me, grave-digger, where did they bury the lamplighter yesterday?"

yesterday?"
"Lamplighter?" he repeated. "Who can know that? Yes-

<sup>\*</sup> Translated by Sarah E. Holmes from an Esperanto version of a Polish anthology.

## **SHADOWS**

terday we buried thirty people."

"But he was buried in the lot for the poorest people."
"There were twenty-five buried there."

"But he had only an unpainted coffin."

"We buried ten in unpainted coffins."

In this manner I never saw his face, I never heard his name, I never found his grave. And he remained after death as he was in life; a being visible only in the twilight, silent, without form

like a shadow. In the twilight of life, where we grope about, where each moment we hear shouts of laughter from the joyous, groans from the helpless who are being trampled upon,—where no one surely knows the way and where misfertune, misery, and hatred hunt the man,—over these dark ways of life run the lamplighters. Each one carries over his head a little flame, each one lights the lamps on his short route, lives unknown, labors unceasingly, and afterwards disappears—like a shadow.

## MODERN MARRIAGE

BY

## Emile Zola

TRANSLATED FROM THE FRENCH BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER

In this story Zola takes four typical marriages,—one from the nobility, one from the bourgeoisie, one from the petite bourgeoisie, and one from the working people,—and describes, with all the power of his wondrous art, how each originates, by what motive each is inspired, how each is consummated, and how each results.

A new edition from new plates, and at a reduced price.

Price, 10 cents

## CARLOTTA CORTINA

BY

FRANCIS DU BOSQUE

A very remarkable story of New York's Italian quarter,—in fact, one of the best short stories ever written in America.

Price, 10 cents

MAILED. POSTPAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New York City.

Mr. (verse sp

3397

He

and the

uplift. –

MAILED, P

В

3399

wh

the

Li

isie.

ive

lts.

-in

AND

## OTHER POEMS

WILLIAM WALSTEIN GORDAK

Mr. Gordak comes entirely unannounced, but his verse speaks well for him. He is a natural poet who writes evenly and melodiously of the beauties of nature and the daintier side of love. Nothing in his little book is cheap. His muse has a lofty flight, and his teachings uplift. - Oregonian, Portland, Ore.

PRICE, ONE DOLLAR

MAILED, POSTPAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, NEW YORK CITY

# The Attitude of Anarchism

TOWARD

## Industrial Combinations

## BENJ. R. TUCKER

An address delivered in Central Music Hall, Chicago, on September 14, 1899, before the Conference on Trusts held under the auspices of the Civic Federation.

Chicago Chronicle .- "The speech which roused the most inter se degree of enthusiasm and called forth the greatest applause at vesterday's sessions of the trust conference fell in rounded periods and with polished utterance from the lips of a professed Anarchist. Prof. Edward W. Bemis in the New York Journal .- " Benj. R. Tucker, the famous Anarchist writer, gave the most brilliant literary effort of the conference thus far." Prof. John R. Commons in the Chicago Tribune.—" The most

brilliant piece of pure logic that has yet been heard. It probably cannot be equaled. It was a marvel of audacity and cogency. The prolonged applause which followed was a magnificent tribute to pure intellect. That the undiluted doctrines of Anarchism should so transport a great gathering of all classes here in Chicago would not have been predicted."

Price, 5 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New YORK CITY

11

der

ar**y** 

not

L.400

# The Philosophy of Egoism

## JAMES L. WALKER

(Tak Kak)

My nose I've used for smelling, and I've blown it: But how to prove the RIGHT by which I own it? SCHILLER, freely translated

"No more concise exposition of the philosophy of Egoism has ever been given to the world. In this book Duty, Conscience, Moralism, Right, and all the fetiches and superstitions which have infested the human intellect since man ceased to walk on four feet, are annihilated, swept away, relegated to the rubbish heap of the waste of human intelligence that has gone on through the progress of the race from its infancy."-Liberty.

Cloth, 75 cents; Paper, 35 cents

# Slaves to Duty

JOHN BADCOCK, JR.

Assailing the morality superstition as the foundation of the various schemes for the exploitation of mankind. Max Stirner himself does not expound the doctrine of Egoism in bolder fashion.

Price, 5 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New YORK CITY 3400

## Free Political Institutions

Their Nature, Essence, and Maintenance

AN APRIDGMENT AND REARRANGEMENT OF

LYSANDER SPOONER'S "TRIAL BY JURY"

EDITED BY

#### VICTOR YARROS

One of the most important works in the propaganda of Anarchism

#### CHAPTERS

I.-Legitimate Government and Majority Rule. II,-Trial by Jury as a Palladium of Liberty. III. Trial by Jury as Defined by Magna Carta. IV. -Objections Answered. V .- The Criminal Intent. VI. -Moral Considerations for Jurors. VII.-Free Administration of Justice. VIII .- Juries of the Present Day Illegal.

Price, 15 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. C. Box 1312, New YORK CITY

# A Blow at Trial by Jury

## BENJ. R. TUCKER

An examination of the special jury law passed by the New York legislature in 1896. A speech delivered by the editor of Liberty at a mass meeting held in Cooper Union, New York, June 25, 1897, under the auspices of the Central Labor Union, Typographical I nion No. 6, and other labor organizations. Distribution of this pamphlet among lawyers and legislators will tend indirectly to interest them in Anarchism.

Price, 5 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New YORK CITY

3401

Th

" With

fn

Tribune. " This philosop von Har

" Such New Yo

"The

of philos

country. " A ver

"It m " A da hyperris

"Stirn knowled " A bo

" That must ha

Ordina

MALLED, B

ida

# The Ego and His Own

By MAX STIRNER

Translated from the German by STEVEN T. BYINGTON in collaboration with other students of German and of Stirner.

## **OPINIONS**

"Without question the most startling book of the year." Clicago

"This work of genius is not inferior in style to that of Nietzsche, and in philosophical value surpasses Nietzsche's by a thousand collists." - Eduard

con Hartmoure Such a drubbing as Stirner gives Altruism, Socialism, Collectivism, and Utopianism of various kinds has never before been in print."

New York Sun. The most extreme, most radical, most uncompromising enunciation of philosophical individualism that has appeared in any age or any

country." -St. Louis Mirror.

"It might well become the billionaire's Bible." -Springfield Republican

" A dangerous book, - dangerous to Socialism, to politicians, to hypoctisy." - James Huncker.

" A veritable Breviary of Destruction,"- New York Times.

Stirner is the most ingenious and the freest writer within my knowledge." - Feuerbach.

"A book from which one rises a monarch," A Firmons French Critic,

"That there was a pen to write such things is incomprehensible. One must have read the book to believe that it exists." Rerue des Deux Mondes.

> 525 pages. The only edition of the back, er any language, that has an index.

Ordinary Cloth, \$1.50; Superior Cloth, Full Gilt Edges, \$1.75

MARLED, POST-PAID, BY

BENJ, R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New York City

3402

# God and the State

## MICHAEL BAKOUNINE

TRANSLATED FROM THE FRENCH BY BENJ. R. TUCKER

"One of the most eloquent pleas for liberty ever written. Paine's 'Age of Reason' and 'Rights of Man' consolidated and improved. It stirs the pulse like a trumpet-call."- The Truth Seeker.

Price, 15 Cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1812, New York CITT

Will

pages, fied un Anarch

(2) Ti

A la

Interes (7) Me dexed.

MAILED,

BE

# Instead of a Book

BY A MAN TOO BUSY TO WRITE ONE

A FRAGMENTARY EXPOSITION OF PHILOSOPHICAL ANARCHISM

Culled from the writings of

BENJ. R. TUCKER

EDITOR OF LIBERTY

With a Full-Page Half-Tone Portrait of the Author

A large, well-printed, and excessively cheap volume of 524 pages, consisting of articles selected from Liberty and classified under the following headings: (1) State Socialism and Anarchism: How Far They Agree, and Wherein They Differ: (2) The Individual, Society, and the State; (3) Money and Interest; (4) Land and Rent; (5) Socialism; (6) Communism; (7) Methods; (8) Miscellaneous. The whole elaborately indexed.

Cloth, One Dollar; Paper, Fifty cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New YORK CITY

# The Anarchists

A Picture of Civilization at the Close of the Nineteenth Century

## JOHN HENRY MACKAY

Translated from the German by GEORGE SCHUMM

## PRESS COMMENTS

New York Morning Journal .- " 'The Anarchists' is one of the very few books that have a right to live. For insight into life and manners, for dramatic strength, for incisiveness of phrase, and for cold, pitiless logic, no book of this generation equals it."

St. Louis Republic.—" The book is a prose poem,"

Cloth, One Dollar; Paper, Fifty cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, MY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New YORK CITY

chist b

## JOSIAH WARREN

The First American Anarchist

A Biography, with portrait

## WILLIAM BAILIE

The biography is preceded by an essay on "The Anarchist Spirit," in which Mr. Bailie defines Anarchist belief in relation to other social forces.

Price, One Dollar

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1912, New York City

# A Nearly Complete File of Liberty To the Highest Bidder

I hereby offer for sale, to the highest bidder, for the benefit of the "Propaganda of Individualistic Anarchism" in the German language, a nearly complete file of Liberty, lacking only 23 numbers,—viz., of Vol. I, Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 26; of Vol. II, Nos. 3, 6, 7, 13, 21, 25, 26; of Vol. IV, Nos. 6 and 7; of Vol. VI, No. 4; and of Vol. X, No. 14,

The file will be awarded to the person making the highest of such offers as may be received by me not later than ecember 31, 1907, provided such highest offer is not less than Fifty Dollars. The money thus realized will be devoted to the "Propaganda," specified above.

John Henry Mackay

166 Herlinerstrusse
Bertin-Charlottensure, Germany

The Pic