

st

he

ar-

"For always in thine eves. U Liberty:

3272

Shines that high light whoreby the world is saved; And though thou slay us, we will trust in thee."

TOEN HAT

1.344

LIBERTY

Published Bimonthly

Twelve Issues, \$1.00; Single Copies, 10 Cents

BENJ. R. TUCKER, Editor and Publisher

Office of Publication:

209 SIXTH AVENUE, NEW YORK

Post Office Address: LIL TRTY, POST OFFICE BOX 1919, NEW YORE

" In abolishing rent and interest, the last vestige; of oldtime slavery, the Revolution abolishes at one stroke the sword of the executioner, the seal of the magistrate, the club of the policeman, the gauge of the exciseman, the crasing-knife of the department clerk, all those insignia of Politics, which young Liberty grinds beneath her heel."- PROUDHOE.

Т with work this Unt relie cont the e suffe fron Aug num the else bi-n Que is it

Т Eur Ital tend

Vol.

etd

'ne

A

L. 399

LIBERTY

Productors BENG R. TUCKER, 50? Sixth Ave., New York City.

Vol. XVI No. 3 SEPTEMBER, 1907 Whole Number 399

ON PICKET DUTY

The State of New York has gone into partnership with me in the editing of Liberty. Its share of the work, however, is confined to the line that appears on this page, announcing my ownership of the periodical. Until my new associate shall use his superior powers to relieve me of further duties, all the other contents will continue to be edited by me. The power to determine the dates of publication still being mine, - by sufferance, -- I may say to the reader that, returning from a business trip to Europe too late to issue an August number, I have decided to complete the six numbers for 1907 by issuing monthly till the end of the year. Next year, the State willing and nothing else preventing, Liberty will greet its readers bi-monthly, with a close approach to regularity. Query: if a man must declare that a thing is his own. is it his own?

The object of the above-mentioned business trip to Europe was to select a stock of French, German, Italian, and Spanish books of the same general tendencies as those of the books already listed in my

3274

LIBERTY

"Unique Catalogue of Advanced Literature," and to complete this English catalogue by the addition of such English books in the same line as do not appear in the catalogues of American publishers and importers. Having made these purchases, I have now opened a store at Number 502 Sixth avenue, near Thirtieth street, in this city, under the name of "Benj. R. Tucker's Unique Book-Shop," where these books are offered for sale. This stock constitutes unquestionably by far the largest collection of the literature that makes for "Egoism in Philosophy, Anarchism in Politics, and Iconoclasm in Art" to be found anywhere in the world, and the prices charged for the books in foreign languages are very much lower than the prices prevailing in the stores that make a specialty of foreign books. It is my intention to print a separate catalogue for each language, thereby not only facilitating mail orders, but making it easy for those visiting the shop to examine the portions of the stock that especially interest them. It will take several months to make these catalogues. Already, however, the English, French, and Italian books are being placed, and I expect to be able to offer the German books by September 15. Probably the Spanish books will not arrive much before November. An important feature of this enterprise is the possibility which it affords of obtaining all the principal progressive writers in almost any language that the reader may desire. There are other stores in New York where Ibsen, for instance, may be had both in English and in German, but there is no other where he may be had also in Frenci. Italian, and Spanish.

327

1.399

A fac politi the c more other all si but t buy! appe thou litera corre open even

> O camp old f time the [

M trave New duri part are : part in th will He s Ana .399

id to of pear

e nov

hese Ċ, o be ged lower

ebv asy s of ke ly, are

а

ber.

.ge ·s in both vhere sh.

3275

ON PICKET DUTY

A fact like this is of importance in view of the cosmopolitan character of the population of America. If the enterprise can be made self-sustaining, it will do more to spread Anarchistic thought than almost any other agency that could be devised. Therefore I urge all sympathizets not only to patronize it themselves, but to inter Scheir acquaintances — sell, especially buy! .--- foreign books, to whom the low prices will appeal. To the latter it may be pointed out that, though carrying in stock as a rule only the libertarian literature, I will import any foreign books desired, at correspondingly low prices. The book-shop will be open from nine in the morning till eleven in the evening.

One way of effectively aiding my present plan of campaign is to buy and distribute my catalogues. An old friend of the movement has ordered, at different times, an aggregate of nearly two hundred copies of the English catalogue, playing me ten cents a copy.

Mr. Fred Schulder, of Cleveland, who became my travelling salesman last March, did very well in New York city during the spring months. His work during the summer has been a little less encouraging. partly because it has been done in small towns, which are much more difficult to canvass profitably, and partly because so many people are away from home in the bot weather. Early in September Mr. Schulder will go to Philadelphia for a stay of several weeks. He should find that city an excellent field, much Anarchistic seed having been sown there. I bespeak

3276

L.399

•2

LIBERTY

tor him the hearty co-operation of all the Philadelphia friends of Liberty.

While in Europe, I was fortunate in making arrangements with Mr. Arthur C. Fifield, proprietor of the Simple Lafe Press, 44 Fleet street, London, whereby he becomes sole importer for Great Britain of "The Ego and His Own" and most of my other publications. English friends who desire to be promptly and readily supplied should apply to Mr. Fifield.

"The Ego and His Own" continues to sell well. In fact, there is no doubt that the work has taken its position as a classic. Assuming that the readers of Liberty are interested in what the press is saving about Stirner's book, I shall give, in either the October or November number, a collection of extracts from the reviews.

The new name which "Lucifer" has dug for itself out of the pages of the Century Dictionary -- " The American Journal of Eugenics" - cannot be altogether pleasing to the editor of the "Truth Seeker," who in his ever watchful championship of Freethought has never shown a conspicuous ambition to lead the way in sex reform, though, as Oscar Wilde might have said, he may realize "The Importance of being Eagene." In reading the "Truth Seeker" it is my habit to look to the Georgics for the eugenics. However, Mr. Harman's organ, in its new magazine form, undoubtedly attains a new dignity, and will

L. 399

32

aco

nev

An

Eu

trad

bor

con

Ne

Da

im

the of

off

to :

and

dis

pa

thi

Ai

the

col

 \mathbf{pr}

coi

ree

ace

- 5

int

I

. 399

3277

lphia

tor in er

r. 1.

ı its of

ctofrom

self 'he

of tion Wilde e r " jenics. ine

ON PERKET DUTY

acquire a wider influence, though its contents may never quite justify so awe-inspiring a name.

The New York "Evening Post" camped on Anarchistic ground when it said the other day:

We may be free from many traditions that enslave feudal Europe, but the tyramay of local pride is as oppressive a tradition as any Spain or Austria can show. No one can be horn in Darbyville without growing up into the infine ate conviction that nature and fate have destined it to sup ass New Hartford, although New Hartford is on the rivet and Darbyville is not. No youth can go to college withow? implicitly assuming a pledge to send his eldest son thet?, though there are many better colleges in the same State. When Smith of my city announces himself as a candidate for Grand Crustee of the Order of Emancipated Reindeer, it is incumbed agon me to support him, in spite of the fact that 1 don't like Smith's face, and our wives have quarrelled.

These Anarchistic sentiments wear a very thin disguise to one who knows that "local pride" and patriotism are equivalents.

Last autumn, at the time of the first arrests in this city under the new statute against "criminal Anarchism," it was found, after the examination of the prisoners, that a sympathetic audience in the court-room had placed my Anarchist stickers in great profusion upon the backs of the benches. This commendable form of "propaganda by deed" has recently been paralleled in Des Moines, Iowa, according to the following despatch to the New York "Sun":

Drs Morxis, IA, Aug. 8 —Recently the phonograph was introduced in court procedure here. Anticipating that the

LIBERTY

voluminous testimony of an equity case would be needed in another trial, Court Reporter William H. Jayne had the evidence fired into a phonograph record, and, when court opened this morning, the machine was set in motion.

"Courts are the abomination of the carth, and lawyers are the emissaries of the devil," drawled out the talking machine, while Judge Howe, Court Reporter Jayne, officials, and operators leoked at each other in blank amazement.

"With the courts abolished and all laws repealed, America would be free indeed, and liberty would come into her own," it continued.

By this time Reporter Jayne had grabbed the machine, and the wanton descenation of the temple of justice ceased.

In the "American Journal of Eugenics" E. C. Walker writes:

The scholarly theorists who smile so devisively at mention of "right" and "wrong," and blithely consign "conscience" to the dust-heap of antiquity, show very clearly, by their indignant demunciation of outrages inflicted upon weak peoples and persons, that all their elaborate and foreible arguments about the glory of "might" and the futility of ethics are purely academic, "-that their ingenious speculations have taken no real hold on their lives.

These "scholarly theorists" show nothing of the kind. Their "indignant demonciation" of the oppression of the weak by the strong shows simply that they are not in sympathy with it, and that they are trying to stir all those not in sympathy with it to exercise the might which is theirs, it they did but know it, to stop it. Might is glorious to each of these "scholarly theorists" only in so far as it is used in the interest of his ideals. Mr. Walker evidently thinks that their arguments would become real, rather that merely academic, only if they should exercise their might to obtain what they do not want and what they

1.399

do rspec It n mak theo but Mr. that are exac to d or t rant are the free will tvra wor ame aı.y peo to i ord. that the seru aga men

32

Ur usefu alızir · 399

ened witho chile

a nd

of to nant it the mic, on

e •xov • the .s

tre They

3279

ON PICKET DUTY

do not like: only in that case would their ingenious speculations have taken a real hold on their lives! It needs but to state his position in these words to make it appear as ridiculous as it is. The "scholarly theorists" do not blame either the tiger or the tyrant. but they hate both. They refuse, however, to follow Mr. Walker in preaching to the tiger and the tyrant that they are doing wrong. The tigers and the tyrants are not doing wrong; on the contrary, they are doing exactly the things that it is right for tigers and tyrants to do. It is equally right for those who are not tigers or tyrants to defend themselve- against tigers and tyrants. Such defence is what the "scholarly theorists" are trying to promote. Whether they succeed or fail, the result will be perfectly right. If those who love freedom can achieve and maintain freedom, this world will be for the free. If those who love tigerdom and tyranuy can maintain tigerdom and tyranny, this world will be for the tigers and the tyrants. And no amount of preaching against sin can affect the issue in any way, except that its general tendency is to make people submissive to tyrants who are shrewd enough to inscribe the word "boliness" on their banners in order to cause believers in spooks to hug the delusion that they, the tyrants, are battling against sin. It is the preaching Walkers who, by filling men with silly scruples, are discouraging the weak from rebellion against the strong. Yet they bring this further indictment against the "scholarly theorists":

Unfortunately, men and women of weaker intellects and less useful knowledge of the world often have shown the demoralizing effects upon them of these delusive philosophies.

3280

1. 399

LISERTY

The same charge is often brought against Mr. Walker's free love philo ophy. It is the general complaint of the old against the new; and it is not without foundation. Bernard Shaw never said a truer word than when he answered the Comstock crowd: "Yes, my books are dangerous to the young." Everything new is dangerous. The railroad was dan gerous: the automobile is dangerous: the flyingmachine will be dangerous. What of it? Are we cowards, or are we men ? It is to be hoped that Mr. Walker, on seeing his words in print, turned over the leaf and read on the opposite page the excellent article by M. Florence Johnson. Answering a question that had been propounded in a previous number. Why is the subject of eugenics the most scientific and majestic problem of the day?" Mrs. Johnson says:

It is the most majestic problem because it is as yet the most unscientific problem, and because all the religious teachings and customs of society have cultivated "sacred" facings regarding sex, and will oppose its being made a scientific subject.

The most majestic because the most unscientific. This admirable phrase contains a complete answer to Mr. Walker, and he should study it well. The keynote of his politics and othics finds expression in the words awe and majesty and other opaque and mouthilling terms. In the rarefied and clarified atmosphere of science this evolutionist finds difficulty in breathing.

A recent article in the London "Mail" on Thomas Hardy contained the following sentences:

Mr. Hardy's novels are v arly all faulty in form, but the poems are full of emotion and formally perfect. He

L.319

talls : some take subti

328

Pada of b is th write and inco this

It two v " rea autho

> It is le thar

T for a com prof a pa stud conv

WI best i wona cond

.399

3281

com

truer ٠

dan ₩₽

Mr. : the rticle that hv

81 ost es and nding

• to ev che. uthphere hing.

mas

He

ON PICKET DUTY

L. 399

1.399

3322

talls back, seconds, upon some sort of ready-pride plot - upon some sample and transformal myth; he forces his characters to take a place of his scheme of the there is his story, lacking subflets and truth U. life.

By this the editor of the New York "Times Sabirday Review 7 is "impelled to wonder at the state of hterary thought in a country whose greatest writer is the subject of criticism so mane as this." So he writes a column of eloquent defence of Hardy's plots and dramatic power. " England," he declares, " is incompetent to criticise Mr. Hardy." After which this competent American critic concludes as follows:

It is a piny so many people know only "Jude" and "Tess," two worthles works, dauseating in their talse and decadent "realism" are salacity books in newise typical of their author's life work.

It is safe to say that England's "greatest writer" is less offended by the manity of the London "Meil" than by the insanity of the New York "Times."

The innerigration question affording a fine excuse for a congressional pinket. , special immigration commission was sent or Europe lately to study the problem. Senator Latiner, of South Carolina, was a memor of the commission. After he had made his studies, he was interviewed in London, and in the conversition he said, among other things.

What I saw tended to disprove the old tradition that only the best and bravest cross the seas. I found that the best men and would were generally satisfied and prosperous under local conditions. These do not emigrate.

To used to go to Europe to find that out, one has

3282

10

LIBERTY

to be as ignorant and stupid as a congressman. If our national lawmakers had appointed a special commission to read the file of Liberty, it would have been less expensive and equally efficacious. To be sure, the commissioners (and their wives) would have failed to see the Café Americain, Maxim's, and the Moulin Rouge, but they would have found in Liberty, of April, 1907, on page 12, the following paragraph:

The law in question (the contract labor law) attracts to our shores mainly those who have not had enough self-reliance and energy to make a place for themselves in their native land. If American employers were free to contract for the services of foreign workmen, they would not, as a rule, capley the European unemployed; rather would they outbut foreign employers for the services of their employees, whereobviously constitute the better portion of foreign laborers. Of course, it sometimes happens that an exception div self reliant foreigner throws up a good thing at home for the chance of a still better one here; but as a rule, the emigrant from for ign shores is one who chooses a two a nothing there and whatever he may get here. The subject labor statute is no exception to, but a peculiarly foreible confirmation of, the rule that law puts a prensium on its theieney.

Liberty not only stated the fact that Senator Latimer saw, but pointed out one of the reasons for it, --a reason very unpalatable, too, to persons who make their living by making laws. The editor of Liberty has brains: the senator from South Carolina has only eves.

Lou Payn, the Republican boss, says: "If I were governor or president, I would take two things into consideration in making my oppointments. I would insist that a man be absolutely honest, and that he be a politician." It is obvious that, if Lou Payn were

6.399

:19

ł

endi 1 for a 5 app

att. in d awa

thi ļ stre 615

11100

i- n

all

Ne ba me

Τo

an

per

11.1

11.

0

d It

ne

21

3283

ON PICKET DUTY

governor or president, he would make no appointments.

Reading the New York "Times" the other day, I come mean this item of telegraphic news:

1.399

11

3284

Dissing, daily 3). Believing that, with the aid of the law, be could force be young wife to live with him, Hugo Lewis Sherwin, who two works ago marri d Mixete Fealy, the actress, appealed to District Atterney Stidger to ay. The district atterney declined to act. He told Sherwin that, were she held in duress, the law yound give him recourse, but she is remaining away from him yountarily and nothing can be done.

Further dow,, the same column I happened to find this item of local news:

Louis Stern, a furrier, who lived at one time at 309 East Tenth streat, was sentenced to State prison yesterday by Judge O'Sultivan in General Sessions for not less than one year nor more than two years on a charge of abandoning bis wife, which is now a felony.

So the law of Colorado, made by men and women, allows a wife to leave her husband, while the law of New York, made by men exclusively, punishes a husband who leaves his wife. I infer that the New York men are moralists, and the Colorado women egoists. To a man like E. C. Walker, who is both a free lover and a moralist, this state of affairs must be peculiarly perplexing.

LIBERTY

L.399

no col begati the pr Gordi usual tries t vill i local obscu toget factin began to La

32

GORDAK'S POFMS

It is a fumiliar dispute whether we should value a poons in proportion to its positive merits and shut our eves to its deficts, or whether uniform general excellence should be rated higher than a mixture of transrendent greatness with all possible faults. For my elf. I want a poem to do for me the atmost that a poem can, and I do not find even glints of perfection so common that I can afford to be over-particular about the company they keep; if a poem is in part better than the accepted standard of perfection, it satisfies me better, notwithstanding that in other parts it may fall notably below that standard. This is doubtless the reason why I put a specially high value on the work of William Walstein Gordak, one of the faultiest of poets, great or small, but one who has certain poculiar powers to stir me.

The main trouble with Gordak was that he was no critic. This is a common enough complaint among poets, but I think they seldom have it so badly as he, In the first place, he seems, through most of his life, not to have realized the value of his own work. If may be that some of the poems in the volume "Here's Lack to Lora," which lies before me, were written when he was at the age at which poets generally produce their best work; but I hardly suppose that he had tried to write verse of serious value till he began, at a comparatively late age, to write it for Liberty. At that time he spoke of himself, in a letter to me, as writing occasionally nonsense-verses for the children, and he sent me a sample which was certainly

GORDAR'S POEMS

no competitor for a high prize. Afterward, when he began to find that his verses were valued, they went to the presser broadcast. Any paper with which Gordak felt any sympathy could have his verses, usually goed, sometimes very good. If anybody evertries to collect Gordak's complete poetical works, he will have to search Anarchist papers, radical papers, local papers, sometimes ephemeral, abnost always obscure: and it will be no easy task to get them together. But Gordak seems himself to have had the feeting that he was past his poetical prime when he began to write: for he says (it is not in "Here's Luck to Lora"):

> Oh, had I but the poet's soul I lost Arold the tray a many years age — Ah, who can tell the pain and shame it cost To face the struggle in a world of woe — When the dall clowns their malice spewed on me Who had not injured them, and little thought, Child that I was, they held my liberty And would coverse me to their cant and rot.

If I but had the poet's soul I lost, With fire and fury I would meet them now; In dust and askes they would pay the cost, A tounded at receiving blow for blow.

For when 1 see the earth unpopulate, The barren fields, the joyless lives of men, I are faifilled of that eternal hate

That shall revivify the world again.

Weak as I am, I yet can prophesy; Like John the Baptist, I can tell of him Who comes — a hero of this century — The blossom of the ages old and dim,

3286

1.1

6.349

13

LIBERTY

The fruit of all time, greater far than all, togenal, orator, and child of song, Abodie of the Arrows, at whose call the ways shall raily to redress the wrong.

Now, it clouds really had once much greater poetical powers that he showed in the time of his productivity, — and this is what we have to assume from the analogy of other poets, who, especially if they be of the Gordak type, generally do their best work while young,—what has the world lost by his silence in those years $f = \mathbf{A}$ very great poet certainly.

As to the edition of his complete works of which I just now spoke, the world can hardly hope to see it. This sixty-two-page volume goes bet a little way toward it. Not only is its selection limited in extent, but it does not represent what is to n the strongest side of Gordak's poetry. The verses , have just cited tell his ideal of what a poet should be. To be sure, he had another ideal, at least of what he himself in certain moods would be; and this other is put into the book, as being altogether appropriate to it:

> They tell us we must leave the wood, The mead, the stre.m, the hazel glen, And stir the broth of bad and good Among the muddy hearts of men; To be august, superior, Must sing the song of lave and hate, And pipe the praise of men of war, And sound the depths of human fate. Ah, well-a-day! but not for me The soul-anatomist's great part; U'd rather watch the bumble bee Suck honcy from the clover's heart.

And yo obscure testime to his satisfy Minor meaning

3287

1.399

N N I T I

We judgme the wo

Shaks

GORDAK'S POEMS

1 et others strum pathetie tunes Upon the heart strings of the race, But I will sing the languid noons Of summer in a shady place.
The earth is older than the man, And better loved; the stream is old, So is the nue tune the poem's plan Boside its waters deep and cold.

And yet we have elsewhere — if we have files of obscure papers, or a well-selected scrap-book — his testimony that this latter ideal, even in its limitation to his personal poetic life, was constantly failing to satisfy and hold him; and in particular we have "The Minor Poets," in a tone which cannot be taken as not meaning what it says:

> Those little shivering poets — where are they? Behind the battlements of caste and gain; No deep and thrilling chords they dare to play, For itar they might be called on to explain.

Melodious are they and touched with fire, but earnest, honest ardor tor things great Pervades them not: they only work for hire, Like lawyers or the servants of the State.

They'd get just what they ask for and no more – A little transient praise and dainty fare; But no'er will gain a foothold on the Shore Of Monorable Mention anywhere.

Why, twenty lines of Shelley will outlive A bundred thousand volumes of their rhyme; Thou might st as well hold water in a sieve As pledge them. Fame for any length of time.

We need not just now discuss the soundness of this judgment, or point out that Gordak's description of the worthless "minor poet" fits conspicuously to Shakspere and Homer; we have to face a more

3288

6.399

LIBERTY

pertinent and more puzzling question. Why was it that Gordak, when this was his mind, and when he had printed poems belonging to the class of poetry that he rated highest, chose to exclude this class from his collection of poems to be printed for permanence? How comes it that "Here's Luck to Lo a" contains the praises of Keats and Morris, and contains Gordak's poems having the quality of Keats and Morris, but does not contain this poem with its praise of Shelley, and does not contain the poems that have the Shelley quality, though it was to the Shelley quality alone that Gordak in his soberest mood would promise immortality.

It may be that his self-criticism was more unsparing in that which he rated higher : he may have felt that he had done fairly well in the minor sphere, but fell too conspicuously short of what was wanted in the greater poetry. Or it may be that he had heard that legitimate poetry should aim merely at beauty, and not be didactic ; and he may have felt that, when he was compiling a volume for publication, and not giving free poetic expression to his own instinct, he should conform to what he supposed to be an accepted canon of taste. Or it may be that he thought his book would be rejected by publishers. if it contained Anarchistic matter : possibly he hoped that this less offensive volume might be the entering wedge for a different one which he might live to publish.

But it was not in this minor sphere that he did best. I have said that this volume contains matter having the quality of Keats and Morris: and I would have

L.399

this under matter b like "Al parison" it bears coming of

3289

Ana T Wh V Thu Thu Thu Nov I Gree I A s

> Bla The An An An

Both typical 1 is as inc recogniz must ch poem w compari But the in this p part, an

299

m

ıld

ing

at

at

e

æst.

GORDAK'S POEMS

this understood in its most laudatory sense. There is matter here that is fully worthy of Keats. If a poen like "The Thunderstorm " challenges direct comparison with James Russeli Lowell's "Summer Storm," it bears the ordeal perfectly. Take a bit out of the coming on of Gordak's shower:

> And they that love the glory of the storm Turned with rapt faces to the deepening sky, Where far-off thunder runbled low and long;

While sumpled corn, and grass, and woodland nigh, Thrilled by expectant change, a moment swayed, Then, hushed in calm, a deeper stillness made.

Now were the westward hills and forests drowned In rainy mists, and dim to mortal eyes Grew the white-shining stream and sunlit ground: But grandeur filled the everlasting skies ---

A strange and shuddering beauty - as the broad Black belt bore up that archangelic horde.

The sun went out; low moaned the frightened sea, And flurried birds skimmed close upon the sand, And screaming gulls across the foam did flee, While wildest tumuit struck the darkened land; A mighty wind bore down the sapling oak, And crackling through the thick-set forest broke.

Both Lowell and Gordak have given us genuine typical New England thundershowers, yet each shower is as individual as it is typical. In each one we recognize the weather of an actual day. And if one must choose between them, I believe that Gordak's poem will stand the test of persistent re-reading, and comparison with its fellow, better than Lowell's will. But the faults of Gordak's work are most strongly felt in this poetry that aims purely at beauty. I, for my part, an willing to pass lightly over his disposition to

3290

18

L.399

LIBERTY

coin new words and new syntaxes on slight provocation, or to treat foreign languages in such fashion as rhyn mg " Parisiens" with " lens" (which is in this book), or exclaiming "Festina lente, thoughtless rulers all " (which is elsewhere); but I should warn Gordak, if he were still within reach of the warning, that such things will in general more readily find pardon in poetry "with a purpose." And, what is more, Gordak's work in this book has almost nothing beyond the equivalent of Keats or Morris, or some other predecessor --- oftenest, perhaps, these two. If we look in these poems for something that nobody but Gordak could have written, we must rake with a finetooth comb: and we find a scrap like

Nor know where ignorance is bliss "Tis folly to be fools,

-which is far too slight to be Gordak's best, but it is pure Gordak — or this:

> vet I knew The source of pleasure, dreamed it oft, Star-gazing at the depths night-blue, Or when the rain beat on the loft.

> Hail to the common things that be! The sound of rain upon the roof, The rose, the wild anemone, The rhythm of the horse's hoof, The scent of piny forests, glow Of autumn's tinted foliage, The smooth and slumbrous fields of snow, Familiar things - man's heritage.

But here, when we begin to find things that no one but Gordak could have written (and a poet cannot long survive except by his work that is unique: what

1.399

port white eren e inter. Giora 11 refer char •• He aspe phil the life Eng Nece nuc orig has to th that take

329

or t a ba $(\mathbf{I} d$ him

GORDAK'S POEMS

poet does the world honor for the excellence with which he wrote (hings that the greatest of his predecessors might have written?), we find ourselves getting into what 1 have called the Shelley quality, which Gordak has in general ruled out of this collection.

The quality in Shelley to which Gordak obviously refers in the lines I quoted, and which equally characterizes Gordak's poetry outside of the book "Here's Luck to Lora," is didacticism in a two-fold aspect: it is the use of poetry as a mouthpiece for a philosophic formula of life and as a tool for moving the world to right social wrongs. Shellev's formula of life was determinism. This word did not then exist in English, so Shelley called it by the less definite name Necessity. It was difficult for evel. Shelley to get much poetry out of that. Gordak's formula is the origin of pleasure from racial familiarity: whatever has from of 31 been familiar to the race is a pleasure to the member of the race. This makes better poetry than determinism. Aside from the passage above, take this from outside the book :

or this — has any poet ever come nearer to presenting a basis on which Egoist and Altruist could meet? (I do not say that either of them will acknowledge himself willing to meet, of course.)

3292

2. 399

LIBERTY

What! decee the gaping persant of his all Wile works of a sporiferous chicane? To thrust the yeoman forward to his fall. The half freed slave to lure and bind again? Fo rob the children of their joy and health, Ingennous women of their happiness. That I may foll in soft induigent we dth? Nay' but no other reason can I guess Save this – the only answer I can find: It has not been the custom of my kind.

Superior in virtue, - say it not; And, if I were, I did not make tayself. Though wrenght and riven by the common lot, I have not covered another's pelf. But why? some instinct vague and curious, Some fault or fortune of my winged strain, Too dense to solve, too weighty to discuss, Involves my being: and I say again To those who wish me otherwise inclined: It has not been the custom of my kind.

But it is in his practical didacticism that Gordak has most power over me. It is when he is most in earnest that he means most, and it is when he means most that he says most in a few words. Take this series of samples from a single poem in Liberty:

> No man can see the light and fail To follow: none can look afar, Beholding where the heavens grow pale The gimmer of the Blazing Star, Save in his heart begins to burn Some reflex of that heavenly fire; He cannot waver, flinch, or turn; He must advance, he must desire.

The vision of the surely sanc: The fact of happiness—the lite Of health, of temperance, and peace. The normal desuefude of strife And servitude—content, release.

1-1 these vet, i form. If (the di prope pedar essor ciple man : but it by wl struct requi rid of Greek high knew he wr good.

them

recog

of po No

ing a

1-399

GORDAK'S POEMS

With nearts by eustom long grown cold for what they deem men cannot do, who look beyond the bounds of habit, and discern the light Of our Ideal.

Is there another poet known who could have written these lines z = 1t so, what has his name been z = Andyet, in selecting his poems to be put in permanent form, the man left this one out!

If Gordak fell a prev to some critic telling him that the didactic was not to be included among poetry proper. I can only reil against the whole tribe of pedants who try to fence art in by formulas. If that essay of Macaulay's in which he lays down the principie that poet, y is that poetry does, and that, when a man says "This poem is more pleasing than the other. but it is less correct," he ought to say "The principle by which I have been judging correctness of poetic structure is an incorrect principle," could be made a required part of the high-school course, we should be rid of much evil. The Geeeks knew better. Every Greek poct of the classic age whose reputation was so high that any considerable part of his work survives knew that the highest purpose of his art was to teach; he wrote from that standpoint, and it did his work good. Lyric, tragic, comic, elegiac, they are all of them preaching. The result is that their works are recognized by the civilized world as the supreme model of poetic taste.

Now we see people — plenty of them — propounding a rule of taste which condemns the Greeks. So

3294

L.399

1

LIBERTY

long as this rule is merely offered as a guide in the formation of taste, and the preservation of works is left to be determined by the survival of the fittest. little harm is done; for the survival of the fittest has an admirable way of riding rough-shod over false rules. But, if such a rule is to determine what works shall be put into a material form capable of surviving, then rage is justified.

I have a strong suspicion, however, that Gordak's selection was actually determined by the thought of what publishers in general might be supposed willing to print. I am the more confirmed in this suspicion when I find that in "Venus" he has left off the last two verses:

The crown of all incarnate bliss! I saw, as she reclining lay, The lovesome lips red-ripe to kiss, Her laughing, lovelit eyes of gray, The graceful arms, the Grecian head, Her sculptured body white and sweet, The marble mounts where love bath fed, Her rounded calves and dimpled feet.

And as I gazed upon this scene, I thought of all the million years That go to make the woman queen Of Love and of our smiles and tears; Of by what slow gradation came This madding beauty, till to-day Not to desire seems like shune, And not to love means life's decay.

Gordak certainly did not cut this out because it was contrary to his taste as poetry, for it wasn't. He may have cut it out for the sake of getting greater unity, to be sure. But it locks very possible that he may

L. 399

nave et that the been of how the The bo been gl to pub, not tak a second second and lef how fo best, of

3295

The judge o and an

I an able to Brisba responsatisfyi Yet I o than B Ernest brough Brisba that gu



399

GORDAK'S POEMS

nave cut it o = t for fear of offending a publisher, and that the more carnest of his other poems may have been omitted for a like reason. Now, if it be so, see how the whirligig of time brought in its revenges. The book is issued by a publisher who would have been glad to have it contain such matter as he is glad to publish in Liberty: and Gordak is dead, and cannot take advantage of any possible success to compile a second volume: and nobody now alive knows where to find the scattered poems that might belong in the second volume, unless Gordak has kept a set of them and left it in good hands. From this let men learn how foolish it is to aim at something less than the best, on the ground that the something less is more practicable.

Therefore, I end as I began, that Gordak was no judge of his own work. But his work was very good, and any collection of it is welcome.

STEVEN T. BYINGTON.

I am glad to see that Michael Monahan has been able to revive the "Papyrus." His scoring of Arthur Brisbane, in the first number of the new series, for his responsibility for yellow journalism, is one of the most satisfying things on that subject that I have read. Yet I could not help thinking how many better men than Brisbane have shared in that responsibility. Ernest Crosby was one of these,—a thought which was brought home to me by the fact that the article on Brisbane immediately followed the editorial tribute to that great character.

LIBERTY

3295

1.399

THE BUTTERS-IN

These who read Liberty of Lecember, 1966, remember the wonderful acticle by "Formina," glorifying the *Earlige*, "diatic, the individual who seeks his pleasure in his own way, regardless of the wishes and example of the crowd. The present article, by the same auth σ and also translated from the "Figure," is a fitting companion-piece, picturing the busybodies who are unwilling that anyone should be an *Elazige*. The French title, "Les *Intempestifs*." does not lend itself readily to translation. Literally it means "The Untimely Ones." "The Meddlers" might serve; but my friend, Mr. Earlie Péron, has placed me under obligation by suggesting the bit of American slang that meets the case.

They are queer individuals. One knows them well only at his cost and after long experience. Nothing external d. clares the maleficent genius with which they are endowed. Even their reputation reassures one. People say that they are excellent, and so devoted! . . . Why should one distrust these tranquil personages, whose minds seem open and whose manners are either affable or cordially brusque? On the contrary, they inspire confidence at the start, by their air of conviction which we see, by the settledness of their judgment which we feel. They are certain of a multitude of things . . . They remind one of solid and resisting blocks. They give utterance to reasonable opinions: in case of need, they come to the support of the moral and the asthetic with advice that is charged with authority: they do not fear the commonplace, but they announce it with so much vigor that it takes on the character of a new and singular truth. In short, they resemble everybody, and 329

L.349

od fijet Gren H Ter uddatis eusemi

> Not Fir encies his ow doubt He m restric of pur of ext and, f imagi stupid persor adven hand adven - 50 being truth. damn to be gratit no no Gener

> > Butte

and t

Th

3297

hati : dless le, is a the, on, while ander ets

vell ag they

On oy ness i of to the

and

that

THE BUTTERS IN

at first sight one cannot discover their strange and the third manip.

To succeed in his career, the Butter-In must, in addition to the particular gift, possess a certain customide of various and weaknesses.

Not every one who wishes can be a butter-in.

First of all, the good batter-in has enormous vital energy. Then, he must be inexorably persuaded of his own composence in the moral order; the smallest doubt on this point would jeopardize his enterprises. He must also think himself fitted to govern the world. restricting the exercise of his faculties to a group out of pure good grace. Forthermore, his pride, by force of extension, must have degenerated into altruism; and, finally, he must be possessed of tireless activity, imagination, some wit, much naiveté, and a little stupidity. He must be sufficiently indifferent to his personal affairs, sufficiently detached from his own adventures, not to be encumbered when he takes in hand the affairs of his neighbors and enters into their adventures. The butter-in who knows his trade gives - so much does he forget himself ! - the illusion of being consumed by the passion of self-s crifice. In truth, he simply obeys his own frantic desire for damnation. He is not wicked, and he believes himself to be very good. He thinks limself entitled to the gratitude of his victims, never gets it, and, as there is no nonsense about his vanity, is astonished thereat. Generally, although an optimist by destination, the Butter-In speaks of men with some bitterness.

There are two sorts of butters-in: the indiscreet and the subtle.

3298

111

1.399

25

LIBERTY

The indiscreet gives advice generously, even when not asked for it; criticises the course that he sees you following, and shows you the consequences of it: inquires regarding your intentions, and proves to you that it is better to change them. He proposes to meddle with matters which you prefer him to let alone, offers his recommendation, tries to reconcile you with one person and embroil you with another. endeavors to arrange a marriage for you, wants to take you on a journey and introduce "ou 'o people. He compromises you by immoderate puffery, lowers you by disparaging your most magnificent enemies, promises to make money for you, exerts himself to regulate your affairs and better your situation. assumes to console vou, to instruct you, to guide you. precisely when you do not wish to be consoled or instructed, and when you are hungry for independence.

The clumsiness of this intolerable individual is so irritating that one does not permit him to take too great advantages. But it is rare that he takes none at all. So many solicitations, a cordiality so overflowing, such an air of disinterestedness, are touching. One accepts a bit of advice, a shadow of a service: one delivers a little of himself. Happily the clumsy haste which the Butter-In shows to invade the territories thus half opened to him reawakens the instinct of defence, and one throws him out. He goes away, full of recollections of the good offices that he has rendered, or, in their absence, of the intentions that he had of rendering them. He puts you down for a mediocre and stupidly vain sout; he despises you. And, if afterward you suffer some damage, he

L.399

takes men d hasist Th

329

get ri rid of On when

him.

p.ev

weak

puts

show

gives

that

in-is

Infe

he f:

curie

befo

hung

Enc

more

and

his v

he g

he h

hear

min

The

him

is.

ы

u.

ice.

ıg.

oes

1.399

THE BUTTERSAN

takes in a that secret pleasure which even the best of men feel when they see things turn out badly that basist on turning ont without their aid.

The subtle is infinitely more terrible: one does not get rid of him so easily. Sometimes one does not get rid of him at all!

On one of those days when life is hard to bear, when one feels the burden of inner solitude, one meets him. This monster prowling about in search of his prey is immediately apprised of the momentary weakness of your heart. Unlike the indiscreet, he puts no direct questions, he offers nothing: he simply shows his sympathy by an indirect word, a look. He gives you to understand that he comprehends, and that it is in his power to help you. He does not insist. He has no intention of forcing confidence . . Infernal personage! He lies in ambush, he watches, he fascinates. He has the air of one utterly without curiosity. His reserve attracts . . . He appears before you like Kipling's boa executing the dance of hunger before the poor innocent monkeys . . . Encouraged, half captured, you risk a word somewhat more precise. The Butter-In's face becomes animated, and now he interrogates with a warmth that completes his victory. You confess something of your cnnui, he glides in, insinu des himself, penetrates; it is done, he has entered into your secret. He surveys your heart and your life with a sagacious look. In a minute he has seen all, he knows; he is about to aci. Then, little by little, he reveals himself. He installs himself, spreads himself, takes up all the room there is. With an adroit hand he suppresses your liberty,

3300

-25

LIBERTY

takes away your right to choose your path, to look out of the window, to breathe. He intervenes, decides, reforms and upsets everything, with an irresistible authority.

The Butter In is persuaded of these two points: first, that diseases, embercessments, misfortunes, every thing untoward that happens to others, happens to them through their own fault: second, that he has received from heaven the power to discern, without possibility of mistake, the proper thing to bring happiness to each. It irritates him to see so many people making so poor use of their good opportunities: he is eager to substitute himself for these bunglers in order to reestablish order in their lives. He is not a simple counselfor satisfying his taste for useless words: no, he wishes to be obeyed, and often he is obeyed. How resist him? He is so well informed about everything! He puts his time, his thought, his influence. and even his monoy, at the service of his victims. To him nothing is too costly that will make him their master and give him the right to lord it over their existence. And what force he has, what coolness, what vigor ever ready to combine, to judge, to decide! His mind remains supple and free, for these tragedies, these comedies, into which he thrusts himself, are not his affairs. Nothing threatens either his skin or his heart. None of these things concern him. With heroic calm he orders the most heartrending sacrifices, with persuasive warmth he impels to the most tiresome tasks: it is not he that sobs or yawns. He tortures his victims " for their good," like that Torquemada -- the

330

tsy icai

1.399

115.5 1 not lo tts 1188 his do untorio on voi has gi delay. neuros a seel what y club. of you is he c An

he is, they v been 1 loved you at you w charge He ta conces you w Natur he the intent you e You :



THE BUTTERSAN

 $\underline{t_M}$ lesi Butter In – who put people on the grill to since their could

The Batter in is at home on all subjects. You are ill? It is har case you are determined to be: you do not know here a core for yourself. Entrast yourself to him a sold you will be cured. He inflicts upon you has doctor this hygiene, his methods. If you are so unfortunate as not to get better, it is pure perversity on your part: he gets angry, he reprimands you. He has given you will be the worst of ingrates, a hopeless neurasthenic who takes pleasure in his morbid manias, a sud imbedle. The vigorous batter-in, who knows what you need, administ to his energy to you with a club. He humiliates you, amazes you, deprives you of your power of reaction. He would kill you, so sure is he of the efficacy of his system.

Are you passing through a sentimental crisis? Here he is, ready to serve you. Things can be arranged, they will be arranged; is he not a hand? You have been betraved, you are no longer loved, or you are loved less: you are hurt, doubtful, sad; you still hope. you are inclined to forgive . . . God knows what you would do without the Butter-In! But he takes charge of everything, he will save you from yourself. He takes too keen an interest in everything that concerns you to indulge you in weaknesses that later you would regret. You think the contrary? Naturally! What do you know about yourself? It is he that knows your needs, your aspirations, your real intentions, your sincere desires. The wrong done to you exasperates him even more than it wounds you. You are being treated worse than you think; he

3302

1.399

LIBERTY

1.399

proves it to you. He searches your heart for the elements of anger that already had begun to scatter; be reassembles them, and sets fire to them. He cann a bear that, through cowardice, you should derive a precarious joy from the fragments of the happiness destroyed. It you are not proud enough, he will be proud for you. He commands ruptures, contributes to them, carries lefters, charges himself with messages, corrects and envenoms the words which it is his mission to repeat, for your dignity is more precious to him than to yourself. He helps you to tear your heart out, and goes home glorious and satisfied.

He loves violent situations because they tend to increase his influence. In his soul there is no atrocity; he wishes to dominate that is all.

Following this idea that no man-except himselfis capable of choosing his real good, the Butter-In aims to effect a complete change in the existence of his victims. Hardly any one is satisfied. The Butter-In is convinced of it. Nobody does what he ought to do! And he gets to work to restore harmony about him. He urges the novelist to write for the stage, and the dramatist to go into politics. No sooner does he enter into the confidence of a desolate widow than he constrains her to marry again, no matter how, no matter whom; if only she gives up the idea of following the instincts of her heart, he is content. He loves to turn artistic natures toward sordid instincts, to counsel those who have a preference for society to retire to the country to read Montaigne. Workers who are fond of solitude and silence must participate in evening gaveties. He hopes to turn aside the

()

it

513

١.

THE BUTTERS-IN

passionate from passion, but he preaches the beauties of love to people of refractory temperament. Never is he content with the ideas that he finds in you. He gives you to understand that every effort made by you up to the blessed moment when you first met him was utterly win. You were in a bad path, you must take another, begin everything again, go elsewhere. Your friends, mistresses, lovers, do not fail to displease him. He disapproves the character of one, the nose of another. And, if this character and this nose, in which you have put your hope, happen to cause you *cauxi*, "I told you so!" cries the Butter-In. For no butter-in was ever wrong!

When docile following of his advice leads to disaster, he is not astonished: you have done what he said, to be sure, but have you done it as he told you to do it? Certainly not! Then .

What an inexplicable pleasure these people take in meddling methers' affairs! They derive no profit from it. One fears them, one tries to get rid of them; sometimes one hates them. Unseasonable service, undesired advice, are things not to be forgiven. They have excellent intentions, yet the results of their actions are almost always disastrous. Useless to the strong, they depress the weak by diminishing their sense of responsibility. They lack the suppleness that penetrates to the depths of the feelings, the secret springs of conduct. If they had this suppleness, they would also be acute enough to understand that advice helps nobody, and that you partially destroy another's will by substituting for it your own. But they understand precious little of things essential. These ineffectual

3304

L. 399

31

LIBERTY

L.399

dominators are the dust, the waste, the botchwerk, of which nature is so prolific in her gropings toward the masterpiece. She finds it necessary to clutter our path with batters in by the thousand before producing a leader of mession a great queen.

PRE-DARWINIANISM

I can recall no precise parallel to the way in which the world has treated the great principle established by Darwin,-I mean not natural selection, whose scope is still under debate, but the doctrine that species originate by evolution from other species. That the doctrine was hotly debated when new is not surprising. But now we see it accepted without question by all scientific men, while at the same time the impression of the general public is that it is an exploded notion which was talked about for a while but was too absurd ever to get any credit among sensible people. This is the unique thing, - that, in an age of enlightenment, and an age in which the opinion of scientific specialists is accepted as decisive for matters within their specialties (and sometimes outside these), the doctrine that the specialists regard as correct should be well known to the public and yet known only as an exploded folly.

There is a second illogicality which is not quite so unique, but I think it has something to do with the first, nevertheless. This is the way in which even those who accept the doctrine of evolute a continue to hold views which have become obsolve by the intro-

duction o treated in immigraci He cut of around w before, au seem to h baste is d these ide: of being heads, wi One susp been very more. An ins

3305

fak." tall obse. er: guilty of Burrough His oppo the highe has a ver themselve throw on by contrabased on anything

* The fact pointed out well add, to I a ree very almost ent r paragraph.

3305

. ot the path 1

rch ed

not

ime ile

. in ive ard vet

 SO ne

ie to r.,-

PRE-DARWINIANISM

duction of that doctrine.* Darwin seems to have treated many an old notion as the old-time Irish immigrant treated the furtle he was ordered to kill. He cut off the turtle's head, but it still crawled around with about the same degree of activity as before, and somebody suggested to Pat that he didn't seem to have killed it. "Sure," he answered, "the baste is dead, but it isn't conscious of it." Likewise, these ideas that Darwin has killed are not conscious of being dead; they go on crawling away without their heads, with the same self-moving massiveness as ever. One suspects, indeed, that the head can never have been very important to them, or they would miss it more.

An instance of pre-Darwinianism is all this naturefak." talk. Mr. Long impresses me as a competent observer: but it makes no essential difference if he is guilty of all the specific misstatements alleged by Burroughs and Roosevelt, and twice as many more. His opponents, notwithstanding that one of them has the highest reputation as a naturalist and the other has a very respectable reputation as a hunter, have put themselves in so deep a hole that all the mud they can throw on Long will not keep him from looking white by contrast to them. Burroughs's talk is avowedly based on the proposition that beasts cannot have anything in the line of reason, but only instinct, and

3306 34

L. 399

LIBERTY

1.399

İn

ore

th

the

har

to

Th

he

op

an

coi

chi

an

ob

no

sci

col

the

Bu

in.

of

stu

the

an

me

an

Ge

in

ha

sna

that observations of nature must be interpreted by this standard: nay, by this standard must their admissibility as true observations at all be tested. And Roosevelt avows himself to be following Burroughs as a leader and teacher. Now, Darwin having lived, it is really inadmissible that men of fair scientific education should hold such views. They might hold --- if the evidence were not all to the contrary --that the element of reason in bestial life is always too small to be perceptible, and likewise the element of instinct in human life; but they don't seem to restrain themselves even to such tenets as these. Burroughs's argument against Long culminates in a demonstration (only Burroughs fails to draw this particular conclusion) that you cannot with the help of the whip teach a dog to sit on its hind legs and beg, or to jump over a stick. For wild dogs in their state of nature cannot possibly have any instinct admonishing them to do such things so as to escape a whip; and they cannot feel the whip as a motive to these actions, which have on their face nothing to do with a whipping, except by forming just such an association of the one thing with the other as Burroughs denies that a beast can ever form. Such principles might have been exploded without the help of the evolutionary generalization, one would think. Furthermore, while I do not know that Burroughs and Roosevelt exactly deny that beasts of the same species have notable individual differences in temperament, or intelligence, or instinct, or habits, or whatever may, according to Burroughs, determine a beast's way of acting, and that the observation of the exceptional

^{*} The fact cited is indeed remarkable, but I never expected it to be pointed out by a man who still clings is orthodox Christian ty. I may as well add, to gnard against misconcere on of my own position, that, while I a recivery larging with what Mr. By ington says in this article, I desent almost ent rely from the views which he expresses in Lis concluding paragraph. ~ EDITOR.

tic

in

m

 \mathbf{p}

3307

PRE-DARWINIANISM

beast is as instructive and profitable (especially if that beast seems to stand above his fellows) as that of the ordinary beast, yet Long is certainly right in saying that they do not give sufficient practical weight to these considerations; and this also is because they have not learned Darwin's lesson thoroughly enough to realize the importance of variations in biology. The sum of the matter is this. Long teaches (whether he exemplifies it or not, most folks have no opportunity to see) the right and scientific method and standpoint for the observation of nature; consequently his books are the right thing for the children. Burroughs teaches and exemplifies the false and unscientific method, whereby you cook the observations to make them square with a preconceived notion, and a mistaken notion at that. Burroughs's science is under the heel of headless ideas which continue active because they are not conscious that they are dead. And Roosevelt is a ramification of Burroughs.

It is pre-Darwinianism, again, when C. E. S. Wood, in the April number of Liberty, says that the hatred of serpents originates from the book of Genesis. A student of sociology in our day ought to know that the hatred and horror of snakes is common to men and monkeys, and is more intense in monkeys than in men; wherefore it is an inheritance from a common ancestry more ancient than any date assigned to Genesis, or even to Eden. When our ancestors lived in trees, out of the way of wolves and crocodiles, they had to fear only three important animal enemies.—the snake tribe, the cat tribe, and the insects that carry

3308

1.399

LIBERTY

contagious diseases. Therefore it was highly valuable to them if they, or any of them, had the quality, well known still to exist in a few men and women, of being unable to have rest, or comfort, or quietness, so long as a cat is anywhere near, even though the cat be not perceptible by any of the ordinary senses. Likewise, it was valuable to them to hate a snake without stopping to think why: and one need not be kept from recognizing the naturalness of this antipathy by the fact that to the snake, as well as to the cat, many persons (of whom I am one) feel no antipathy whatever. The hatred of the snake is also reinforced by the general hatred of the strong for any creature which is at once weak and dangerous, especially if it strikes when you did not see that an enemy was near. (This last observation is especially commended to the attention of those who approve or palliate the policy of assassination and terrorism. However justifiable the actions of the rattlesnake may be, however good may have been Franklin's arguments in favor of it as a more honorable emblem for the United States than the bald cagle, we know what treatment it gets, and we know that its fight is a losing one. Those who choose to make themselves the rattlesnakes and copperheads of society must expect, by all analogy of history, that they will be treated as such: and a rattlesnake is not so treated as to facilitate the snake's getting what it wants. Also, creatures that are classified in the same group with rattle-makes, or are thought to resemble them, receive the same treatment: a fact which is of no advantage either to them -- on the whole -- or to the rattle-

L.399

wh for "E bro lea

do

in

wo

the

in

soc

wł

an

wi

wł

no

no

to

ve

he

fre

Н

is

 $\mathbf{p}\mathbf{u}$

vie

pu

L.399

3309

nable well being ong

be to u ulso any

n ally : or

may ments

es ee ed-as Also,

th reive age

PRE-DARWINIANISM

statistic As to the insects that carry contagious discuss, this darger is not peculiar to animals of arbor of stock, and neither is the corresponding distance of feeling a beg crawling on you; every switch of a cost of horse's tail shows that their ancestors, as well a cost or horse's tail shows that their ancestors, as well a cost or horse's tail shows that their ancestors as

But indeed this statement of Mr. Wood's is no worse than the one with which he couples it,-that the horror of makedness is drawn from the same story in Genesis. It is still more incumbent on the sociologist to know that the horror of nakedness is felt where the influence of the Bible has never penetrated, and was felt long before the time of Moses. Otherwise he has forgotten the Twelfth Commandment, which is, Theu shalt not write about a thing theu dost not understand," as Chwolson, the physicist, has just now said in criticism of Haeckel.* Mr. Wood ought to read Gunkel's Commentary on Genesis. It is a very interesting book; and by the time he has read it he will have learned to read the legends in Genesis from the same standpoint as he reads the legends in Hiawatha, and this will do him a lot of good. There is no profit in combining the traditional view of the purpose of a book like Genesis with the iconoclastic view of its authority, when the traditional view of its purpose has no basis whatever except in the traditional

3310

99

2.399

LIBERTY

view of its authority. The records in Genesis are not drawn up for the purpose of inculcating a course of life which \vdash to be followed, but for the purpose of explaining things that are already extant. Hence, when we find a certain rule spoken of in Genesis as proper, this proves, not that the book is a source of the rule, but that the rule is older than the book.

Since I am on Wood, let me turn aside from pre-Darwinianism long enough to remark that Wood, on the other side of the continent, did not get the whole of the report he quotes about Father Teeling, of Lynn. According to the Boston papers, the Lynn priest not only ruled that women must not appear in his church bareheaded, but also declared it to be indecent (though not within his power to stop) that women should go bareheaded on the street; and for this also he quoted the same text in Corinthians as authority. But the text in Corinthians declares that it is indecent for a man to have his head covered under the given circumstances, just as plainly as it declares that it is indecent under the same circumstances for a woman to have her's bare. Wherefore, it this applies to walking on the street, it follows that it is indecent for Father Teeling to go out on the street with a hat on, and the police ought probably to run him in if he does so; and likewise any other man. Q. E. D.

To come back to my tex, it is pre-Darwinianism again when people say that all a man's actions are motived by the desire for happiness. The assertion that this is the best and most cational motive in every case is an assertion to which no science except chics

1.399

^{*} A map is not so much to blame if he accepts false testimony to a fact which must necessarily rest on testimons. I cannot feel as self very guilty for having stated in L berty, on the fa th of a report in the N ev York "Evening Sun," that the law for the compulsory registr. to not births was broken in the case of ex-bresident Cleveland's daughter Ruth. Thave now learned that the report was a mere forgery by Jacob A. Ruis. Without doubt the editor, like the public, supposed it to be truthful.

it

3311

PRE-DARWINIANISM

or theology can make any objection; but the assertion that n_{2} other motive is extant is an assertion which ought to become conscious that it is dead since Darwin has lived. It is the sign of a brain that has not yet learned to look at the universe from an evolutionary standpoint. If the structure of man's mind were of mysterious and unfathomed origin, the bringing all his acts under a single motive would not merely be admissible along with the rest of the mystery, but would even seem to diminish the mystery by the simplicity of the formula. But, if man's mind has come to be what it is by the progressive inheritance of such variations as are useful to the species, then it is supremely improbable that this process has given only one sort of impulse for all actions, the regular and the occasional, the essential and the non-essential, the urgent and the postponible. Just as there is reason as well as instinct in animals, so there is instinct as well as reason in man. Pleasure and pain are not two sides of the same thing (and they do not even seem to be, except when a theorist is trying to simplify his theory by bringing everything under one hat), but totally disparate impulses which are appropriate to different purposes, the one to those acts which merely had better be done sometime, the other to those acts which have to be done now. But some of the most urgent acts of all, such as the avoidance of a recognized danger of death, are entrusted not even to pain, but to an automatic and unreflecting impulse which is surer and prompter in its action than pain itself. Again, when a course of action is once begun, it is taken charge of by the nerve-saving impulse to

3312

40

L 399

39

LIBERTY

keep on: and the man not only gees on walking or running or heeing, but even turns corners and throws out stones and starts again after stopping, without constantly thinking of any desire connected with the walking or running or hoeing. I do not consider that this is all: I think that I could find still other disparate motives without going into the sphere of ethics as commonly understood; and I think that there are altruistic motives (I use the plural deliberately, meaning to imply more than one type of motives not reducible the one to the other) which are as distinguishable from the pleasure or pain of sympathy as they are from the calculation of an expected cash profit to accrue to me from my neighbor's prosperity. But, even if this were demonstrated to be false, and if all motives alleg: d to be moral or altruistic were successfully reduced to the pursuit of pleasure and the avoidance of pain, it would still remain true that neither pleasure nor pain, nor the two together, constitute the sole non-moral motive from which men act.

STEVEN T. BYINGTON.

The German vice-chancellor is preparing a scheme for the maintenance of persons out of work while they are seeking employment. When this scheme gets into operation, the trade of seeking employment will be followed with an astonishing persistency. In that trade the open shop will prevail, and there will be no danger of strikes.

In nothe ought Becau result er ce v wheth one co the wo trolley the re street. ends g sustai remar natur so Wa are co there has b humo the m thron cessat said r assign

1-399

2.399

force-2. or a can next to peace-2 a denie and wi

with the So p money, throug ment a defy the Italian safety adopte been k the ref so goo give, 1 the first

The Hague a Cent places a cone Emma proper unwri can te stand course

3313

UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

L.399

UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

In the outlook of Anarchy, conceived of as the mother of order, an international Peace Congress ought to be about the best thing anywhere in sight. Because all the ends of order are peace, and the sure result of peace must be order. It makes little differerce which end foremost the object is presented -whether the Anarchy end or the Peace end; if we see one coming, we know what must be following. When the woman passenger asked the conductor of the trolley car whether she should go to the front end or the rear end of the car to alight on reaching her street, he said: "It don't make any differ, lady; both ends gets there." Peace and Anarchy, meaning order, sustain the relation of the two ends of the car, and, as remarked, either end foremost suits. And, just as naturally as Peace and Anarchy come and go together, so War and the State united stand, or divided fall and are counted out. Real peace has not subsisted since there were two armed governments on earth. There has been that which was called peace — the peace humorously proclaimed in the Thanksgiving order, the message to congress, and the speech from the throne-which, however, is not peace, but a brief cessation of hostilities. It is a rest after the last war, said rest being confined to the combatants and their assigns who are deceased; and it is otherwise a period

3314

1.)

LIBERTY

of preparation for a future war, made at the expense of the joyous survivors.

If peace waits on the reduction of the function of government to the performance of police duty, religion, or the church, will have to go. The original purpose of the State was to protect and enforce some form of worship. I believe that the government of Spain, and perhaps of some other European countries, has no excuse to exist except the maintenance of faith. This government of the United States is reputed to be the first one ever set up with any other object in view. Some of the men instrumental in outlining its original policy had the delusion that the people could be governed---that is, held politically subject---otherwise than through their superstitions. Their successors are correcting the error as fast as they can by converting the State to the uses of the church. And anybody is blind who cannot see that imperialism, or the policy of annexation, is the same thing as the Christian missionary business. It is the "overflowing fountain," as Christianity is defined by Mr. Bryan, who himself slops over perpetually.

Only a few know about the identity, here affirmed, of Peace and Anarchy. And what else could you expect when Anarchy is presented, judged, and condemned as another sort of government that varies the conventional way of administering justice by starting with the execution instead of with accusation and trial. That is not Anarchy; it is "unwritten law." As I would define the Anarchistic philosophy, it is not the personal bumping off of an individual ruler, but rather the taking away of the thing he rules with. The

-399

11 -

that

in.

ev

ito

0

e

1.399

them are reprehen complain took the entered are not Their re sophistic rest of t the mirn they do. made a Nothing remarks

3317

I am and, exc given m the man set down frost. atmospl purpose "spirit overlooi stand w Cont They aj convinc

stand w Cont They a convinc about t baiter a think th and am

3315

399

÷e

ť

ion.

ose

and

his

nal

are

ng is

v

in.``

lf

ed.

the

ng

he

ther

rial.

) V -

19

эf

UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

force-Anarchist is a Protestant blowing up the pope or a cardinal by means of some high explosive hove next to him in the shape of a bomb, whereas the peace Anarchist, as I understand his method, would be a denier disseminating unbelief as his propaganda and withholding his tithes to make the deed jump with the word.

So peace is a matter of not putting any belief, or money, into a government that manifests maialy through armies and navies. There is no peace sentiment about building warships and forts wherewith to defy the powers. A few weeks ago, when murders by Italians got more frequent than comported with the safety of the police, the policy of disarmament was adopted, and so far enforced that not a policeman has been killed since. Considering the restricted scope of the reform, and who gets the benefit of it, this is not so good an illustration of the point as I would like to give, but it at least proves the birth of the idea that the first step toward peace is to give up the gun.

The government of Italy has demanded of the Hague conference that a delegate from Cuba or from a Central American State (the accounts name both places) shall be pushed out of its councils because he is a condemned Anarchist and an exile from King Victor Emmanuel's realm. If this chap is an Anarchist proper, and not one of those unofficial executioners or unwritten-law fellows, he is exactly the delegate who can tell the peace conferees where they will have to stand to see the peace band-wagon come up. Of course, if they don't want peace so much as they want

3316

LIBERTY

to govern their fellow-man, they don't have to have it, and will not follow his advice.

How does it happen that a man who derives the one great satisfaction of his life from gazing at himself in a looking-glass, by his own volition, will avert his face, side-step the reflection, and go up in the air if somebody else holds the mirror before him? When the act, in one case, brings to his countenance an expression of perfect self-complacency, it seems strange that, in the other case, the effect on him should be so different that it amounts to an annovance, under which he may knock the glass aside hard enough to break it, or maybe smash the person who has it in his hands. Leave him alone with a looking-glass, and he is likely to waste time viewing himself in a great variety of postures, and will even distort his features and still find them fascinating; but lead him unsuspectingly before the glass, and you must be twice as strong as he is to hold him there. He can see therein nothing but himself; nevertheless he would show more pleasure standing in front of and inspecting a cage of monkeys.

The freakishness of a human being in this respect explains the resentment shown by some writers who were quoted in the May number of Liberty. Not having this freakishness in mind, I had carelessly, and impertinently as I am aware, sprung the looking-glass on my Jewish fellow-citizens. It cannot be claimed that the reflection showed a single one of them any detail of himself that he had not examined and lamented or admired a thousand times, and all of

1.399

L.399

thought: an eight l of instrucment, thr to dry-nufarmers c be the needitors ge while Roo there is n will they

3319

Mr. Re I missed. extended a relief to new cabin Eugenics obvious. marriage ment of c settled, a toward in selection as "barn culture st would fur frequently would for give imp shortened hours, it nearer to:

3317

it.

the

e

uld

der

his

1.0

гi-

d

ong

ore

of

ınd

ass

UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

them are aware of the fact. As I pointed out nothing reprehensible or unpraiseworthy, they could not fairly complain that they had been misrepresented, and they took the course that any lawyer would approve. They entered a demurrer, which mean that the facts stated are not sufficient to constitute a good cause of action. Their replies bulk large, because the writers are sophisticated thetoricians, as Disraeli said; and the rest of their answer is surging to get out of range of the mirror. All this was natural. What else could they do, and how could the editor of Liberty have made a better application of "Much Ado About Nothing" than when he used it as a heading for their remarks?

I am disappointed and mortified beyond telling; and, except for the brilliant essay the affair has given me a chance to write on the strange behavior of the man before the glass. I should, on the whole, set down the reception of my *Judenfrage* piece as a frost. Not a feature of it came out clear in the atmosphere of the East Side. The critics missed the purpose, they missed the point, they missed the "spirit" of it; and then, just to be consistent, they overlooked my name at the end. They did not understand what had been written, or who wrote it.

Controversy on the merits of the Jews is not for me. They appear to be all right, and in a discussion I am convinced that the other fellow has no show. I have about the same high regard for an anti-Semite or Jewbaiter as for an anti-Japanese hoodlum; but I still think that the Jews are relatively a commercial people, and am feeling sore because the replies made to my 3318

L. 399

LIBERTY

inquiry are so vague and indirect. I asked whether any Jews are Socialists, and, if so, what they expected to gain by a change to a system that promises to do away with commercialism; and I am not a "bought the wiser for being told in response that Mr. Fucker is an anti-Semite.

The way to dispose of a question is to give an answer to it or to let it alone. On the Fourth of July a speaker at the celebration in Paris appealed to the reflective faculties of his hearers by inquiring: "Do married men make the best husbands?" His audience only laughed. Now, to laugh is better than to get red-headed. Yout neither is an answer. The speaker asked: "Domarried men make the best husbands?" and the question is: Do they?

In a back number of Liberty the prophecy was risked by the writer that the government would enlarge its supervision over offspring born to us, and that the fruit of our loins would be picked by the State for ulterior purposes earlier than it is now for purposes of education π . The day looked to be coming this way when childre' would be delivered directly into the hands of publix officials trained to catch them on the fly. I did not exact any confirmation of that view this year or next; 1 thas forecasting at long range. Like other prophecies that are forgotten unless some event happens to fit them, this one was on the point of escaping my recollection, when Mr. Reosevelt passed out a promise to an assemblage of Indiana agriculturists, on Decoration Day, that might have been prompted by the same unbidden

.t.d

 \circ

e i

July

ence

ing

hem

hot

Ont

o

3319

UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

thought: for the president then and there proclaimed an eight-hoar day for farmers' wives, outlined a system of instruction for the men, and -aid that the government, through the agricultural department, proposed to dry-nurse the children, who were the best crop the farmers could raise. That is about what 1 said would be the next move. And now will the newspaper editors go on fooling themselves with the idea that, while Roosevelt directs the policy of the government, there is no forecasting what its next move will be; or will they read Liberty and get wise?

Mr. Roosevelt added a detail that somehow or other Unissed. He says that first aid to parents will be extended through the department of agriculture. It is a relief to know the worst. He might have created a new cabinet officer, and called him the Secretary of Eugenics (formerly Lucifer): or, seeing only the obvious, he could have claimed that the supervision of marriages and births properly belonged to the depart ment of commerce and labor: but the question is settled, and settled right. His attitude heretofore toward improvement of the human race by artificial selection shows that he approves its classification as "barnyard ethics"; and a department of agriculture stepping about the barnvards of our land would furnish an inspiring sight not to be too frequently repeated. The observations there taken would fortify the members to go into the house and give improving advice. After the administration has shortened the working day of farmers' wives to eight hours, it might take up the problem of bringing births nearer together. Many perfectly-developed and robust

3320

1.399

LIBERTY

infants have appeared in half a year or so after the marriage of the parents. If this can be accomplished in the case of the first child, it is the duty of the agricultural department to find out why future additions to the family do not show the same expedition. Great glore avails this department as the brains, backbone, and so on, of the republic.

Lest his words escape the eye of those who can best appreciate them, I will briefly quote from a recent speech by President Woodrow Wilson, of Princeton University. Dr. Wilson recklessly spoke as follows:

There can be no liberty, if the individual is not free; there is no such thing as corporate liberty. There is no other possible formula for a free government than this: that the laws must deal with individuals, allowing them to choose their own lives under a definite personal responsibility to a common government set over them), and that government must regulate, not is a superintendent does, but as a judge does; it must safeguard, it must not direct.

The words which I have enclosed in brackets are surplusage, like the pious "under God" in Lincoln's Gettysburg speech and the second clause of Spencer's definition of rights. Dr. Wilson might as well have omitted the governmental note, and let the individual answer to other individuals for his abuse of freedom, which abuse is termed "invasion" — a good word, not to be used so often as to get worn out, or lose the freshness of its bloom, or sink into the vocabulary of cant. An interesting lot of conclusions follow the negation of the government's function to "direct." I ask Dr. Wilson if he has considered the bearings of his proposition on the appointment of official ghostly

332

L. 399

adviser of the descene rederal divorce hibitio congre These dicate preside are the ment a burgla permit

The viction to be f comm "will trium The ti people in the to offici When held g presen at whi thwar prude the "

m.

al.

ъť

UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

advisers called chaptains: on the rules and regulations of the post office as to the kind of thought it will condescend to transmit: on the "duties" of the various rederal departments, bureaus, and commissions; on disorce laws, Sonday laws, anti-race-suicide laws, prohibition, preclamations, executive orders, massages to congress, and programe interviews on nature-fakirs. These are all directive, supervisory, hortatory, and indicate government by impulse. The notions of the president of Princeton are hopelessly primitive. They are those of a man who has not framed up government as a bunday-school teaching pickpocket and burgla, who will operate with chosen pals, but will permit no individual competition.

The most hilarious note on the exposure and conviction of Mayor Schmitz of San Francisco is of course to be found in one of Hearst's papers. All the communities of this continent," says the "American," "will share San Francisco's elation in the final triumph there of the people over graft and dishonor." The triumph of "the people" is preity good. The people had their "triumph" all right, but it was not in the downfall of the grafters; it was in their election to office. This meident should be recorded right. When exposers of graft got after Schmitz, the people held great indignation meetings addressed by their representatives and by Father Yorke, the people's priest, at which the mayor's detractors were exhibited as thwarters of the popular will. It would be the prudent course to ascertain the feelings and temper of the "common people" of San Francisco before offering

3322

2:399

LIBERTY

felicitations. Otherwise one might get a tart reply. And, when you get right down to beass tacks, the turpitu to of Schnitz does not distinguish him so far from other elected persons as would at first appear. Consider the offence of which he stands convicted.that of taking the money of a restaurant proprietor in return for immunity from let or hindrance in his business. What the restaurant man gave up to Schmitz was his second assessment. The government had pulled his leg previously. The government assesment was called a license, which was transmitted to Washington and contributed its percentage toward paying the personal expenses of the Roosevelt family. the railroad fare of the president and his parasites, the cost of keeping a government vessel in commission to take the Roosevelt female and young on pleasure trips, specifically the taking of the president's sons to see the Yale-Harvard boat race on the Sviph at the government's expense. The license money did that. The other money, given to Schmitz, is called extortion, and went' toward paying for the Schmitz residence. Where is the physical difference between the license and the extortion? That there is a "moral" difference I concede, though I may be alone in the admission: for I believe that the liquor which the government licensed the restaurant man to sell did more harm than the coom-renting that Schmitz permitted for a fee. Otherwise, in the perspective of a man up a tree, the cases run parallel.

Dr. Wilson, of Princeton avers there is no such thing as "corporate" liberty. Is there corporate

2.399

n lonv represeit Incatable >> Consider tortion h Protectie the polic up." who servative discrimit for reser an exalte burglar governa felonies. meannes city due commute people o office of gate to He gets pickel. a of railre anythir portatic surplus has lost a dezen deposit agent o the stan

. 399

u:

 \mathbf{r} in

-11

ses

, the

'e

to

ŧ.,

٩Ŀ.

.1

ral

3323

UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

felony - Apparently not. Government can without reproach duplicate private crimes, and even individual novaminesses. I will adduce one example of each. Consider the hariff, how it goes. That form of extortion has two motives, -- protection and revenue. Protection is the term used to describe the action of Has police in not molesting the dive-keeper who "gives up," while arresting and prosecuting his more conservative competitor. Any other tariff for protection discriminates in substantially the same way. Tariff for resenue means that we need the money. It is not an exalted motive, for none other ever actuated a burglar or pirate. You would think that a great government, while magnifying and exceeding the felouies of individuals, might be exempt from their meanness. You have another think coming. The city dweller who has not got tired of life and turned commuter imagines he is dealing with the meanest people on earth when his fave is received at the ticket office of a street railroad and he passes through the gate to find no cars running on account of a block. He gets back to the street without recovering his nickel, and next time he hears government ownership of railroads advocated he says he believes in it, or in anything else that will check this greed of transportation companies d'at is absorbing il e scant surplus of the proletariat. It is pretty tough. He has lest his fare. But, if he wants to lose the value of a dezen fares, let him stamp a four-pound package and deposit in the mails for transmission abroad. The agent of the government seizes the package, destroys the stamps, and at his leisure notifies the sender that.

3324

1.399

51

LIBERTY

under a sufe of such and such a date, or according to order so and so, the package doesn't get. You have recourse to an Arassis company, and wonder why petty become was even made a cruace.

The superior wholesomeness and gavety of sinners as compared with saints has always been noticed. That the cheerful one sheald stand straight and live long, while the serious and over righteous man gets round shouldered and peters out, is supposed to be due to divine mercy, which would give the wicked time to repent. Some other explanation is needed. The chap in Banyan's "Pilgrim's Progress" stooping under a bundle of sins is not true to life. I talked with a man who had all of the moralities at his tongue's end, and who was admirable in every way except that he provoked in you a desire to kick him. He knew what the conduct of every person should be, and the burden of his speech was "A man ought to," or ""Tain't right for a man to," and "I always make it a rule." He had practised all good precepts from his youth up, and hore only the sins imputed from the fall of Adam. But these he deemed a heavy load. And he was getting aged and bald and narrow-chested and shrunken, and fired and uncommunicative except when some folly of his fellow man stirred him to utter a groan. "It seems to me," he said one day, " as if it was about impossible for a man to live up to his knowledge of what is pleasing in the sight of God." He was worrying bimself thin over that. I looked at him attentively, and recalled the lines of the hymn:

33

6.394

I h that ? trial e promi marry she is entitle it. 1 love a poet. must nonv a mai be are utter ments with 1 lieu t Enga marry

-to e netix

rs če s dav to

ue's hat w his fall hod her

it

лt

:

3325

CNB/DDEN THOUGHTS

is a low the aged sinner goes haden with grief and heavy woes.

And I say how it didn't fit the case. So I thought again: "Old man, it isn't your sins that trouble you; it is your virtues." Estimating the samifice of time and money, health and happiness, life and liberty, that is required to keep the world as good as it now is, I have concluded that this truth is of general application. — that the pack of sins man bears on his shoulders is exceedingly light compared with the load of virtues he is trying to support.

I have a criticism to pass on the course chosen by that New York woman who, having entered into a trial engagement with a man, sued him for breach of promise when he terminated the arrangement without marrying her. The law gives her that right, and, as she is bound to support and obey the law, she is entitled to any benefit she can derive by appealing to it. But the law is a cold proposition to bring into a love affair. It penury can repress the noble rage of a poet, and freeze the genial marrow of his soul, not less must a law-suit retrigerate the lover. The legal ceremony, taken seriously, chills more or less the parties to a marriage; a wedding by order of the court would be arctic. For my own part, I doubt and suspect the utter abandon of a love that waits on marriage settlements; and the affection whose yearn will be satisfied with possessing its object or three thousand dollars in lien thereof cannot be the real thing by a where lot. Engaged persons expect, and promise when they marry, to love each other forever. But, if it falls out

3326

L.399

69

LIBERTY

that one party does not want to marry, the other will hate him so heartily inside of six weeks as to sue him at the law and penalize him to the limit. It looks unreasonable. Damages for breach of promise are founded on neither good sense nor experience. In the first place, as army engagements turn out happily when they are broken as when they are kept; and, while a man may disappoint a woman some if he does not marry her, the chances are in favor of his disappointing her a good deal worse if he does. I am satisfied that marriage would oftener prove a success, and wedded happiness be vastly increased, if husbands never did their wives any greater unkindness than not marrying them.

GEORGE E. MACDONALD.

THE PRICE OF PROGRESS

Young brother, young sister, with the uplift gaze, Would you follow the new vision, live the new life? Have you conceived an ideal beyond old creeds and customs? Does it call you? Would you follow? Count the cost! Has poverty no terrors for you? Can you be driven from shelter to shelter till " home " is an empty name, And can you still be true? Can you hunger while prostitution feasts and flourishes, And keep your genias pure? Have you reckoned with the world's scorn, and counted it as naught? Can you discount the averted gaze where once shone welcome? Still I say to you - Count the cost! Do you know the price you shall pay for your freedom? A sword shall sever you from kindred, friends, lovers. Not one who is not of the new, not one of the old can hold you or be held.

One by one you shall sacrifice them on the altar of your progress,

33

L.399

In a los Your V Your fi The rep And yo They w Con yo Then g

For yo But yo

A deep And h

A wari Clear (

And you m You m That s They t And in

Into t A new That,

That -On int

t

UNBIDDEN THOUGHTS

In a iong-drawn agony of pain. Your very bload stall ery out to you for eruelty. Your thread shall ery out by you for eruelty. The repeated in their eyes shall haunt your sweetest joys, And you veriest transplus shall ring with their defeats. They where you love, love, love? Can you pay to your progress the price of their pain? Then go on to you and die, still going on? For you shall gam? Strength that grows by resistance, power

But you shall gain? Strength that grows by resistance, power that is born of purpose;

A deeper usight, a clearer understanding, a greater love. And here and there, along steep hillsides, beside yawning chashs.

A warm hand shall clasp yours,

Clear eyes shall look into yours with the look that knows and responds.

And you shall claim comrades, yours, your own! You may not keep them with you, but you shall know That somewhere on the pathway they too are climbing. They too are pursuing the dream and the visit n. And in you shall be born a living, leaping Hope that into the pain and the yearning. Into the world's weariness and woe, A new light shall dawn, a new day shall break; That, whether you stand or fall, the world shall grow by your

that, whether you stand of fail, the world shall grow by you striving:

That slowly, but with onward sweep of endezvor, On into Freedom and Joy life, the World is advancing! ADELINE CHAMPNEY.

3328

L.399

Here's Luck to Lora

3329 **T** A Pie

1.399

AND

OTHER POEMS

BY WILLIAM WALSTEIN GORDAK

Mr. Gordak comes entirely unannounced, but his verse speak: well for him. He is a natural poet who writes evenly and melodiously of the beauties of nature and the daintier side of love. Nothing in his little book is cheap. His muse has a lofty flight, and his teachings uplift.—Oregonian, Portland, Ore.

PRICE, ONE DOLLAR

MAILED, POSTPAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New York City

New Y the very life and phrase, a equals it. St. Low

MAYLED, G

The Anarchists

A Picture of Civilization at the Close of the Nineteenth Century

BY

JOHN HENRY MACKAY

Translated from the German by

GEORGE SCHUMM

PRESS COMMENTS

New York Morning Journal.—"'The Anarchists' is one of the very few books that have a right to live. For insight into life and manners, for dramatic strength, for incisiveness of phrase, and for cold, pitiless logic, no book of this generation cyuals it."

St. Louis Republic .-- " The book is a prose poem."

Cloth, One Dollar; Paper, Fifty cents

MAILED, COST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, NEW YORK CITY

The Philosophy of Egoisin

3330

JAME5 WALKER

12.

My nose Eve used for the ming, and Eve blown it; But how to prove the mont by which I own it? Schulzes, freey translated

S

ŧ

ā

т

-04

b

c

рі іт

tı h

"No more concile exposition of the philosophy of Egoism has ever been given to the world. In this book Duty, Conscience, Moralism, Right, and all the fetiches and superstitions which have infested the human intellect since man ceased to walk on four feet, are annihilated, swept away, relegated to the rubbish heap of the waste of human intelligence that has gone on through the progress of the race from its infancy."— Liberty.

Cloth, 16 cents; Power, 35 cents

:---

Slaves Juty

JOHN BADCOCK, JR.

Assailing the morality superstition as the foundation of the various schemes for the exploitation of mankind. Max Stirner himself does not expound the doctrine of Egoism in holder fashion.

Price, 5 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New York City

Стту

10

ture

book

iings

.399

ra

The Attitude of Anarchism

TOWARD

Industrial Combinations

BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER

An address delivered in Central Music Hall, Chicago, on September 14, 1899, before the Conference on Trusts held under the auspices of the Civic Federation.

Chicago Chronicle.—" The speech which roused the most intense degree of enthusiasm and called forth the greatest applause at yesterday's sessions of the trust conference fell in rounded periods and with polished utterance from the lips of a professed Anarchiet."

Prof. Educard W. Bemis in the New York Journal.- "Benj. R. Tucker, the famous Amerchist writer, gave the most brilliant literary effort of the conference thus (ar."

Prof. John R. Commons in the Chicago Tribune.—" The most brilliant piece of pure logic that Las yet been heard. It probably cannot be equaled. It was a marvel of audacity and cogency. The prolonged annianse which followed was a marnificent tribute to pure intellect. That the undiluted doctrines of Anarchism should so transnort a great gathering of all classes here in Chicago would not have been predicted."

Price, 5 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

eđ

ns

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1319, New York City

The Ballad of Reading Gaol

BY C. 3. 3 [OSCAR WILDE]

A poem of mote than 600 lines, dedicated to the memory of a trooper of the Horse Guards who was hanged in Reading Gaol during the poet's confinement there. An English classic,

Cloth, One Dollar; Paper, Ten Cents

The cloth edition has covers of blue and vellum, and is beautifully printed from large type on hand-made antique deckle-edge paper. It is a sumptuous book of 96 pages, and should be in every library.

PRESS COMMENTS

Albany Press.—" Strong writing, almost too strong: it is horrible, gruesome, uncanny, and yet most fascinating and highly ethical. . . One of the greatest poems of the century, a permanent addition to English literature. . . . It is the best Lenten and Easter sermon of the year."

Brooklan Citizen.—" Many of the stanzas are cries out of the lowest held. The poem, indeed, takes rank with the most extraordinary psychological phenomena of this or any time."

India appolis Journal.—" The work is one of singular power, holding the reader fascinated to the last line. Nothing approaching it in strength has been produced in recent years."

Philadelphia Conservator.-- People who imagine themselves superior to the prisoners in jalls should read this poem. People who love invasive laws should read this poem. People who this existing governmental methods of meeting social invasion civilized should read this poem. People who do not know that laws in sy make as well as punish crime should read this poem. In fact, everybody should read this poem. For somewhere it touches every body, accuses everybody, appeals to everybody."

MAILED. POST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Boy 1312, NEW YORK CITY

Wi

MAIL B

Instead of a Book

BY A MAN TOO BUSY TO WRITE OVE

A FRAGMENTARY EXPOSITION OF PHILOSOPHICAL ANARCHISM

Culled from the writings of BENJ. R. TUCKER EDITOR OF LIBERTY

With a Full-Page Haif-Tone Portrait of the Author

A large, well-printed, and excessively cheap volume of 524 pages, consisting of articles selected from Liberty and classified under the following headings: (1) State Socialism and Anarchism: How Far They Agree, and Wherein They Differ; (2) The Individual, Society, and the State; (3) Money and Interest; (4) Land and Rent; (5) Socialism; (6) Communism; (7) Methods; (8) Miscellaneous. The whole elaborately indexed.

Cioth, One Dollar; Paper, Fifty cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Dox 1312, New York City

3334

34 L.399 Free Political Institutions

Their Nature, Essence, and Maintenance

LYSANDER SPOONER'S "TRIAL BY JURY"

EDITED BY

VICTOR YARROS

()ne of the most important works in the propaganda of Anarchism

CHAPTERS

I.—Legitimate Government and Majority Rule. II.—Trial by Jury as a Pariadium of Liberty. III.—Trial by Jury as Delined by Magna Carta. IV.—Objections Answered. V.—The Criminal Intent. VI.—Mcral Considerations for Jurors. VII.—Free Administration of Justice. VIII.—Juries of the Present Day Illegal.

Price, 15 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New York City

A Blow at Trial by Jury

BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER

An examination of the special jury law passed by the New York legislature in 1806. A speech delivered by the editor of Liberty at a mass meeting held in Cooper Union, New York, June 25, 1897, under the auspices of the Central Labor Union, Typographica! Union No. 6, and other labor organizations. Distribution of this pamble: among lawyers and legislators will tend indirectly to internst them in Amarchism.

Price, 5 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, NEW YORK CITY " If the sa

3335

The

In ce

"Withot Tribure.

" This w philocophi row Harts " Such a

and Utop: New York

of philoso; country."

" A verit " It mig

" A dan hypocrisy.

"Strne knowledg

" A 1 ool

" That t must have

Ordinar

MAILED, PO BE

" If you devour the sacred, you have made it your own. Digest the sacramental wafer, and you are rid of it." -- Stirner.

The Ego and His Own

By MAX STIRNER

Iranslated from the German by STEVEN T. BYINGTON In collaboration with other students of German and of Stirner.

OPINIONS

"Without question the most startling book of the year."—*Chicago* Tribuwe.

" This work of genius is not inferior in style to that of Nietzsche, and in philo ophical value surpasses Nietzsche's by a thousend cubits."—Eduard roo Hartwann.

"Such a drubbing as Stirner gives Altruism, Socialism, Collectivism, and Utopianism of various kinds has never before been in print." New York Stor.

"The most extreme, most radical, most uncompromising enunciation of philosophical undividualism that has appeared in any age or any country," $\sim 8t$. Louis Mirror,

"A veritable Breviary of Destruction."- New York Times.

"It might well become the billionaire's Bible," -Springfield Republican.

"A dangerous book, — dangerous to Socialism, to politicians, to hypocrasy." "James Huneker,

"Stirner is the most ingenious and the free 4 writer within my knowledge." - Feneroach.

 \cap A book from which one rises a monarch, \cap A Famous French Critic.

"That there was a pen to write such things is incomprehensible. One must have read the book to believe that it exists," *Revue des Deux Mondes.*

525 pages. The only edition of the book, in any language, that has an index.

Ordinary Cloth, \$1.50; Superior Cloth, Full Gilt Edges, \$1.75

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New York City

State Socialism

Anarchism

How Far They Agree and Wherein They Differ

BY

BENJ. R. TUCKER

The opening chapter of "Instead of a Book," reprinted separately. The best pamphlet with which to meet the demand for a compact exposition of Anarchism.

Price, 5 cents

MAILED, POST-PAID, BY

3336

2.399

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, New York CITE

Show circula current State i ventior A n most i guage of the

3337 MI

L.399

MATTE

3337 MUTUAL BANKING

WILLIAM B. GREENE

Showing the radical deficiency of the existing circulating medium, and the advantages of a free currency; a plan whereby to abolish interest, not by State intervention, but by first abolishing State intervention itself.

A new edition, from new plates, of one of the most important works on finance in the English language, and presenting, for the first time, a portrait of the author.

Price, 10 cents

MAILED, FOST-PAIL, BY BENJ, R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1319, New York, Criv

JOSIAH WARREN

The First American Anarchist

The of A

A Biography, with portrait

WILLIAM BAILIE

The biography is preceded by an essay on "The Anarchist Spirit," in which Mr. Bailie defines Anarchist belief in relation to other social forces.

Price, One Dollar

MAILED, POST-PAID, MY

3338

BENJ. R. TUCKER, P. O. Box 1312, NEW YORK CITT